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**Abstract**

Ecosystem indicators are a key tool for the implementation of Ecosystem-Based Fisheries Management (EBFM). To progress towards effective EBFM, the scientific community has emphasized the development of indicator-based approaches, eg., Integrated ecosystem assessments, to track and evaluate the ecosystem effects of fishing and the effectiveness of management measures to maintain resources in a sustainable form. There is now a wealth of ecosystem and biodiversity indicators proposed by the scientific community and frameworks have been developed for the selection of indicators, mostly based on ecological theory and data availability. However, this is only a part of what is required to meeting the objectives of EBFM, the MSFD and other sustainability targets. Indicators need to track the state of the ecosystem and its components, and attributes that may be impacted by fishing, and there needs to be a clear understanding of the link between pressure (eg fishing) and state. In 2005, Simon Jennings wrote “There has been little formal evaluation of the properties of proposed indicators and when occasional screening exercises have been conducted, many proposed indicators have fared poorly.” In this review paper we ask how rigorously indicators are being assessed for these properties before they are used for management purposes. We evaluate the state of the art of indicator use for EBFM and IEA, with a particular focus on the assumptions made about indicator behavior, performance and reference points. Finally, we evaluate how we have progressed, and identify common challenges and future research recommendations.
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