

ICES WGNSSK REPORT 2018

ICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ICES CM 2018/ACOM:22

REF. ACOM

Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak (WGNSSK)

24 April – 3 May 2018

Oostende, Belgium



ICES
CIEM

International Council for
the Exploration of the Sea

Conseil International pour
l'Exploration de la Mer

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea Conseil International pour l'Exploration de la Mer

H. C. Andersens Boulevard 44–46
DK-1553 Copenhagen V
Denmark
Telephone (+45) 33 38 67 00
Telefax (+45) 33 93 42 15
www.ices.dk
info@ices.dk

Recommended format for purposes of citation:

ICES. 2018. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak (WGNSSK), 24 April - 3 May 2018, Oostende, Belgium. ICES CM 2018/ACOM:22. pp.

The material in this report may be reused using the recommended citation. ICES may only grant usage rights of information, data, images, graphs, etc. of which it has ownership. For other third-party material cited in this report, you must contact the original copyright holder for permission. For citation of datasets or use of data to be included in other databases, please refer to the latest ICES data policy on the ICES website. All extracts must be acknowledged. For other reproduction requests please contact the General Secretary.

The document is a report of an Expert Group under the auspices of the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea and does not necessarily represent the views of the Council.

© 2018 International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

Contents

Executive Summary	1
1 General	6
1.1 Terms of Reference	6
1.2 InterCatch	9
1.2.1 Métier-based data call for WGSSK (and other working groups)	9
1.2.2 Data raising and allocation to unsampled strata	9
1.2.3 Treatment of BMS landings in advice sheets	12
1.3 General uncertainty considerations	12
1.4 Survey corrections during 2017 and 2018.....	13
1.5 Internal auditing and external reviews.....	13
1.6 Transparent Assessment Framework (TAF)	14
1.7 Mixed Fisheries	15
1.8 Multispecies considerations	16
1.9 Estimation of MSY proxies for Category 3 stocks	16
1.10 Special requests.....	17
1.11 Presentations	17
2 Overview	20
2.1 Introduction.....	20
2.2 Main management regulations.....	23
2.2.1 Landing obligation.....	23
2.2.2 Effort limitations	26
2.2.3 Stock-based management plans.....	28
2.2.4 Additional technical measures.....	28
2.3 Ecosystem Overviews	31
2.4 Fisheries Overviews	33
2.5 Human consumption fisheries.....	33
2.5.1 Data.....	33
2.5.2 Summary of stock status	34
3 Brill in Subarea 27.4, Divisions 3.a, 27.7.d and 27.7.e.....	37
3.1 General.....	37
3.1.1 Biology and ecosystem aspects	37
3.1.2 Stock identity and possible assessment areas	37
3.1.3 Management regulations	38
3.2 Fisheries data.....	39
3.2.1 Landings.....	39
3.2.2 Discards.....	39
3.3 Tuning series	40

3.3.1	Survey Data	40
3.3.2	Commercial lpue series.....	41
3.4	Analyses of stock trends and potential status indicators	42
3.4.1	Dutch commercial lpue series	42
3.4.2	Length-based indicator screening.....	42
3.4.3	SPiCT MSY proxy reference points	44
4	Cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) in Subarea 4, Division 7.d and Subdivision 20 (North Sea, Eastern English Channel, Skagerrak)	64
4.1	General.....	64
4.1.1	Stock definition	64
4.1.2	Ecosystem aspects.....	64
4.1.3	Fisheries.....	64
4.1.4	Management	65
4.2	Data available.....	66
4.2.1	Catch.....	66
4.2.2	Weight-at-age	69
4.2.3	Maturity and natural mortality.....	69
4.2.4	Catch, effort and research vessel data.....	70
4.3	Data analyses.....	71
4.3.1	Assessment audit	71
4.3.2	Exploratory survey-based analyses.....	71
4.3.3	Exploratory catch-at-age-based analyses.....	72
4.3.4	Final assessment.....	73
4.4	Historic Stock Trends	74
4.5	Recruitment estimates.....	74
4.6	MSY estimation	75
4.7	Short-term forecasts.....	75
4.8	Medium-term forecasts.....	77
4.9	Biological reference points.....	77
4.10	Quality of the assessment	78
4.11	Status of the Stock.....	79
4.12	Management considerations	80
4.13	References	81
5	Dab in Subarea 4 (North Sea) and Division 3.a (Skagerrak, Kattegat)	141
5.1	General.....	141
5.1.1	Biology and ecosystem aspects	141
5.1.2	Stock ID and possible assessment areas	142
5.1.3	Management regulations	142
5.2	Fisheries data.....	142
5.2.1	Historical landings.....	142
5.2.2	InterCatch.....	144
5.3	Survey data/recruit series	148

5.4	Survey Based Assessment (SURBAR).....	154
5.5	MSY Proxy analyses for dab in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a.	158
5.5.1	Dab 27.3a4 Surplus Production Model in Continuous Time (SPiCT).....	158
5.6	References	165
5.7	Tables.....	167
6	Flounder in Subarea 4 (North Sea) and Division 3.a (Skagerrak, Kattegat)	174
6.1	General.....	174
6.1.1	Biology and ecosystem aspects	174
6.1.2	Stock ID and possible assessment areas	174
6.1.3	Management regulations	175
6.2	Fisheries data.....	175
6.2.1	Historical landings.....	175
6.2.2	InterCatch.....	177
6.3	Survey data/recruit series	180
6.4	MSY Proxy analyses for flounder in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a.....	184
6.4.1	Surplus Production Model in Continuous Time (SPiCT).....	184
6.5	References	189
6.6	Tables.....	190
7	Grey gurnard (<i>Eutrigla gurnardus</i>) in Subarea 4, Divisions 7.d and 3.a (North Sea, Eastern English Channel, Skagerrak and Kattegat)	197
7.1	General.....	197
7.1.1	Biology and ecosystem aspects	197
7.1.2	Stock ID and possible assessment areas	197
7.1.3	Management regulations	197
7.2	Fisheries data.....	198
7.2.1	Historical landings.....	198
7.2.2	InterCatch data.....	200
7.2.3	Other information on Discards	202
7.3	Survey data/recruit series	202
7.4	Biological sampling	204
7.5	Analysis of stock trends/assessment	204
7.6	MSY Proxies.....	206
7.6.1	Mean Length Z.....	208
7.6.2	Length Based Spawning Potential Ratio (LB-SPR).....	209
7.6.3	Length Based Indicators (LBI).....	211
7.6.4	Conclusion	214
7.7	Data requirements	214
7.8	References	215
7.9	Catch and index tables	217

8	Haddock in Subarea 4, Division 6.a and Subdivision 20 (North Sea, West of Scotland and Skagerrak)	222
8.1	General.....	222
	8.1.1 Ecosystem aspects.....	222
	8.1.2 Fisheries.....	222
	8.1.3 ICES advice.....	223
	8.1.4 Management.....	223
8.2	Data available.....	224
	8.2.1 Catch.....	224
	8.2.2 Age compositions.....	226
	8.2.3 Weight at age.....	226
	8.2.4 Maturity and natural mortality.....	226
	8.2.5 Catch, effort and research vessel data.....	226
8.3	Data analyses.....	227
	8.3.1 Exploratory catch-at-age-based analyses.....	227
	8.3.2 Exploratory survey-based analyses.....	228
	8.3.3 Conclusions drawn from exploratory analyses.....	228
	8.3.4 Final assessment.....	229
8.4	Historical Stock Trends.....	229
8.5	Recruitment estimates.....	230
8.6	Short-term forecasts.....	230
8.7	Medium-term forecasts.....	231
8.8	Biological reference points.....	231
8.9	Quality of the assessment.....	233
8.10	Status of the Stock.....	233
8.11	Management Considerations.....	233
8.12	Assessment frequency.....	234
8.13	References.....	236
9	Lemon sole in Subarea 4, divisions 3.a and 7.d (North Sea, Skagerrak, Kattegat and Eastern English Channel)	299
9.1	General.....	299
	9.1.1 Biology and ecosystem aspects.....	299
	9.1.2 Stock ID and possible assessment areas.....	300
	9.1.3 Management regulations.....	300
9.2	Fisheries data.....	300
	9.2.1 Officially-reported landings.....	300
	9.2.2 ICES estimates of landings and discards.....	300
9.3	Survey data series.....	301
	9.3.1 Stock distributions.....	301
	9.3.2 Maturity and weights-at-age.....	301
	9.3.3 Relative abundance indices.....	302
9.4	SURBAR stock assessment.....	302
9.5	Application of advice rule.....	303

9.6	Length-based F_{MSY} proxy estimation	303
9.7	Conclusions and further work	304
9.8	References	305
10	Norway lobster (<i>Nephrops</i> spp.) in Division 3.a (Skagerrak, Kattegat)	335
10.1	<i>Nephrops</i> in Division 3.a	335
10.1.1	General	335
10.1.2	Data available from Skagerrak (FU3) and Kattegat (FU4)	336
10.1.3	Combined assessment (FU 3 & 4)	338
10.1.4	Biological reference points	342
10.1.5	Quality of the assessment	342
10.1.6	Status of the stock	342
10.1.7	Division 3.a: <i>Nephrops</i> management considerations	342
11	Norway lobster (<i>Nephrops</i> spp.) in Subarea 4 (North Sea)	366
11.1	General comments relating to all <i>Nephrops</i> stocks	366
11.2	<i>Nephrops</i> in Subarea 4	366
11.3	Botney Cut (FU 5)	367
11.3.1	The fishery in 2016 and 2017.	367
11.3.2	Data Available	368
11.3.3	Intercatch	369
11.3.4	Quality of assessment	370
11.3.5	Status of stock	370
11.3.6	Short term forecasts	370
11.3.7	Management considerations for FU 5.	371
11.4	Farn Deeps (FU 6)	371
11.4.1	Fishery in 2016 & 2017	371
11.4.2	Assessment	372
11.4.3	Historical stock trends	376
11.4.4	MSY considerations	376
11.4.5	BRPs 380	
11.4.6	Quality of assessment	380
11.4.7	Status of stock	380
11.4.8	Management considerations	380
11.5	Fladen Ground (FU 7)	381
11.5.1	Ecosystem aspects	381
11.5.2	The Fishery in 2017	381
11.5.3	ICES advice in 2017	382
11.5.4	Management	382
11.5.5	Assessment	382
11.5.6	Data available	382
11.5.7	Data analyses	385
11.5.8	Recruitment estimates	387
11.5.9	MSY considerations	387
11.5.10	Short-term forecasts	388
11.5.11	Quality of assessment	391

11.5.12	Status of the stock.....	392
11.5.13	Management considerations.....	392
11.6	Firth of Forth (FU 8)	392
11.6.1	Ecosystem aspects.....	392
11.6.2	The fishery in 2017.....	393
11.6.3	Advice in 2017.....	393
11.6.4	Management.....	394
11.6.5	Assessment	394
11.6.6	Historical stock trends.....	397
11.6.7	Recruitment estimates.....	398
11.6.8	MSY considerations.....	398
11.6.9	Short-term forecasts.....	398
11.6.10	Quality of assessment	401
11.6.11	Status of the stock.....	401
11.6.12	Management considerations.....	401
11.7	Moray Firth (FU 9).....	402
11.7.1	Ecosystem aspects.....	402
11.7.2	The fishery in 2017.....	402
11.7.3	Advice in 2017.....	403
11.7.4	Management.....	403
11.7.5	Assessment	403
11.7.6	Historical stock trends.....	407
11.7.7	Recruitment estimates.....	407
11.7.8	MSY considerations.....	407
11.7.9	Short-term forecasts.....	408
11.7.10	Quality of assessment	411
11.7.11	Status of the stock.....	411
11.7.12	Management considerations.....	411
11.8	Noup (FU 10).....	412
11.8.1	Ecosystem aspects.....	412
11.8.2	The fishery in 2016 and 2017	412
11.8.3	Advice in 2016.....	412
11.8.4	Historical stock trends.....	413
11.8.5	Recruitment estimates	413
11.8.6	Short-term Forecasts.....	414
11.8.7	Status of the stock	414
11.8.8	Management considerations	414
11.9	Norwegian Deep (FU 32).....	417
11.9.1	Ecosystem aspects.....	417
11.9.2	Norwegian Deep (FU 32) fisheries	417
11.9.3	Advice in 2016.....	417
11.9.4	Management.....	418
11.9.5	Assessment	418
11.9.6	Historic stock trends.....	421
11.9.7	Recruitment estimates.....	421
11.9.8	Forecasts.....	421

11.9.9	Biological reference points.....	421
11.9.10	Quality of assessment	422
11.9.11	Status of stock	422
11.9.12	Management considerations.....	422
11.9.13	References.....	424
11.10	Off Horns Reef (FU 33).....	424
11.10.1	Data available	424
11.10.2	Historic stock trends	425
11.10.3	Management considerations for FU 33.....	426
11.10.4	Status of the stock.....	426
11.11	Devil's Hole (FU 34)	426
11.11.1	Ecosystem aspects	426
11.11.2	The Fishery in 2016 and 2017.....	426
11.11.3	Management	427
11.11.4	Assessment.....	427
11.11.5	Data available	427
11.11.6	Historical stock trends.....	429
11.11.7	Recruitment estimates	429
11.11.8	MSY considerations	430
11.11.9	Short-term forecasts	430
11.11.10	Status of the stock.....	430
11.11.11	Management considerations.....	430
11.12.	27.4.out FU	433
	The fishery.....	433
	Advice in 2017.....	433
	Management	433
	Assessment.....	433
12	Norway Pout in ICES Subarea 4 and Division 3.a (September 2018).....	529
12.1	General.....	529
12.1.1	Ecosystem aspects	529
12.1.2	Fisheries.....	531
12.1.3	ICES advice.....	532
12.1.4	Management up to 2017.....	533
12.2	Data available.....	535
12.2.2	Landings / catches.....	535
12.2.3	Age compositions in Landings.....	535
12.2.4	Weight at age.....	535
12.2.5	Maturity and natural mortality.....	536
12.2.6	Summary of Inter-benchmark assessment on population dynamic parameters	537
12.2.7	Catch, Effort and Research Vessel Data.....	537
12.3	Catch at Age Data Analyses.....	539
12.3.2	Review of assessment.....	539
12.3.3	Final Assessment.....	539
12.3.4	Comparison with 2015-2017 assessments.....	541

12.4	Historical stock trends	541
12.5	Short-term prognoses	542
12.6	Medium-term projections	544
12.7	Biological reference points.....	545
12.8	Quality of the assessment	547
12.9	Status of the stock	547
12.10	Management considerations	548
12.10.2	Long term management strategies	549
12.11	Other issues	551
12.12	References	553
13	Plaice in Skagerrak (3aN)	610
14	Plaice in Subarea 4 (North Sea) and Subdivision 20 (Skagerrak).....	611
14.1	General	611
14.1.1	Stock structure.....	611
14.1.2	Ecosystem considerations	611
14.1.3	Fisheries.....	612
14.1.4	ICES Advice.....	612
14.1.5	Management	612
14.2	Data available	613
14.2.1	Landings.....	613
14.2.2	Discards.....	613
14.2.3	Catch	614
14.2.4	Weight-at-age	614
14.2.5	Maturity and natural mortality.....	614
14.2.6	Catch, effort and survey data	614
14.2.7	InterCatch.....	615
14.2.8	Data analyses.....	616
14.3	Assessment	616
14.3.1	Final assessment results	617
14.3.2	The Fishers' North Sea Stock Survey	618
14.4	Recruitment estimates.....	618
14.5	Short-term forecasts.....	619
14.6	Biological reference points.....	620
14.6.1	Precautionary approach reference points.....	620
14.6.2	F_{MSY} reference points.....	620
14.6.3	Update of F_{lim} and F_{pa} values in 2016.....	620
14.6.4	Update of reference point in 2017 benchmark	620
14.7	Quality of the assessment	622
14.8	Status of the stock	623
14.9	Management considerations	623
14.9.1	Multiannual plan North Sea.....	623
14.9.2	Effort regulations (North Sea).....	624

14.9.3	Technical measures.....	624
14.9.4	Frequency of assessment.....	625
15	Plaice in Division 7.d	679
15.1	General.....	679
15.1.1	Stock definition	679
15.1.2	Ecosystem aspects.....	679
15.1.3	Fisheries.....	679
15.1.4	ICES advices for previous years	679
15.1.5	Management.....	679
15.2	Data available.....	680
15.2.1	Catch.....	680
15.2.2	InterCatch.....	680
15.2.3	Age compositions	681
15.2.4	Weight-at-age	681
15.2.5	Maturity and natural mortality.....	681
15.2.6	Surveys.....	682
15.3	Assessment	682
15.3.1	Results	682
15.4	Biological reference points.....	683
15.5	Short-term forecasts.....	683
15.5.1	Recruitment estimates	683
15.5.2	Calculation of the 7.d resident stock	683
15.5.3	Management options tested	683
15.6	Quality of the assessment	684
15.7	Status of the stock	684
15.8	ICES assesses that fishing pressure on the stock is below F_{MSY} ; and spawning stock size is above $MSY B_{trigger}$. Management considerations	684
16	Pollack (<i>Pollachius pollachius</i>) in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a (North Sea and Skagerrak).....	718
16.1	General Biology.....	718
16.2	Stock identity and possible assessment areas	718
16.3	Management.....	718
16.4	Fisheries data.....	718
16.5	Survey data / recruit series	719
16.5.1	Biological sampling	719
16.5.2	Analysis of stock trends	719
16.5.3	Data requirements	720
16.6	References	720
17	Saithe (<i>Pollachius virens</i>) in Subarea 4, 6 and Division 3.a (North Sea, Rockall, West of Scotland, Skagerrak and Kattegat).....	730
17.1	General.....	730

17.1.1	Stock definition	730
17.1.2	Ecosystem aspects	730
17.1.3	Fisheries.....	730
17.1.4	ICES Advice.....	730
17.2	Management.....	731
17.3	Data available.....	731
17.3.1	Catch.....	731
17.3.2	Age compositions	732
17.3.3	Weight-at-age	732
17.3.4	Maturity and natural mortality.....	732
17.3.5	Catch per unit effort and research vessel data.....	733
17.4	Data analyses.....	733
17.4.1	Exploratory survey-based analyses.....	733
17.4.2	Exploratory catch-at-age-based analyses.....	733
17.4.3	Assessments.....	734
17.4.4	Final assessment.....	734
17.5	Historic stock trends.....	735
17.6	Recruitment estimates.....	735
17.7	Short-term forecasts.....	735
17.8	Medium-term and long-term forecasts.....	735
17.9	Quality of the assessment and forecast.....	736
17.10	Status of the stock	736
17.11	Management considerations	736
17.11.1	Evaluation of the management plan	736
17.12	References	737
18	Sole (<i>Solea solea</i>) in Subarea 4 (North Sea)	781
18.1	General.....	781
18.1.1	Stock definition	781
18.1.2	Ecosystem aspects.....	781
18.1.3	Fisheries.....	781
18.1.4	ICES Advice.....	781
18.1.5	Management.....	782
18.2	Data available.....	783
18.2.1	Landings.....	783
18.2.2	Discards.....	783
18.2.3	BMS landings.....	784
18.2.4	Logbook registered discards	784
18.2.5	InterCatch.....	784
18.2.6	Age compositions	784
18.2.7	Weight-at-age	784
18.2.8	Maturity and natural mortality.....	785
18.2.9	Catch, effort and survey data	785
18.3	Assessment	785

18.4	Recruitment estimates.....	786
18.5	Short-term forecasts.....	787
18.6	Medium-term forecasts.....	787
18.7	Biological reference points.....	788
18.8	Quality of the assessment.....	789
18.9	Status of the stock.....	789
18.10	Management considerations.....	789
18.11	Frequency of assessment.....	790
19	Sole (<i>Solea solea</i>) in Division 27.7.d (Eastern English Channel).....	836
19.1	General.....	836
19.1.1	Stock definition.....	836
19.1.2	Ecosystem aspects.....	836
19.1.3	Fisheries.....	836
19.1.3.1	Management regulations.....	836
19.1.3.2	Additional information provided by the fishing industry.....	837
19.1.4	ICES advice.....	837
19.1.4.1	ICES advice for 2017.....	837
19.1.4.2	ICES advice for 2018.....	838
19.2	Data 838	
19.2.1	Landings.....	838
19.2.2	Discards.....	839
19.2.3	Weight-at-age.....	840
19.2.4	Maturity and natural mortality.....	840
19.2.5	Tuning series.....	841
19.3	Analyses of stock trends/Assessment.....	841
19.3.1	Review of last year's assessment.....	841
19.3.2	Exploratory catch at age analysis.....	841
19.3.3	Survivors estimates.....	842
19.3.4	Final assessment.....	842
19.3.5	Historical stock trends.....	843
19.4	Recruitment estimates and short-term forecast.....	844
19.4.1	Recruitment estimates.....	844
19.4.2	Short-term forecast.....	845
19.5	Biological reference points.....	847
19.6	Quality of the assessment.....	848
19.7	Management considerations.....	848
19.8	References.....	849
20	Striped red mullet in Subarea 4 (North Sea), divisions 7.d (Eastern English Channel) and 3.a (Skagerrak, Kattegat).....	896
20.1	General.....	896
20.2	Fisheries.....	896

20.3	ICES advice.....	897
20.4	Management.....	897
20.5	Data available.....	897
20.5.1	Catch.....	897
20.5.2	Weight-at-age.....	898
20.5.3	Maturity and natural mortality.....	898
20.5.4	Survey data.....	899
20.6	Trend based assessment.....	899
20.7	Length-based indicators screening.....	899
20.8	Mean length Z.....	900
20.9	Conclusions drawn from analyses.....	900
20.10	References.....	901
21	Turbot in 3.a (Kattegat, Skagerrak)	914
21.1	Management regulations.....	914
21.2	Fisheries data.....	914
21.3	Survey data, recruit series and analysis of stock trends.....	915
21.4	Summary.....	915
22	Turbot in Subarea 4.....	925
22.1	General.....	925
22.1.1	Biology and ecosystem aspects.....	925
22.1.2	Fisheries.....	925
22.1.3	Management.....	925
22.2	Data used.....	926
22.2.1	Catch data.....	926
22.2.2	Discards.....	927
22.2.3	BMS landings.....	927
22.2.4	Logbook registered discards.....	927
22.2.5	InterCatch.....	927
22.2.6	Survey data and commercial LPUE.....	928
22.2.7	Biological data.....	929
22.3	Stock assessment model.....	930
22.3.1	Model settings.....	930
22.4	Assessment model results.....	932
22.4.1	Status of the stock.....	932
22.4.2	Historic stock trends.....	932
22.4.3	Retrospective assessments.....	932
22.5	Model diagnostics.....	932
22.6	Management considerations.....	932
22.6.1	Effort regulations.....	933
22.6.2	Technical measures.....	933
22.6.3	Combined TAC.....	933
22.7	References.....	933

23	Whiting (<i>Merlangius merlangus</i>) in Subarea 4 (North Sea), Division 7.d (Eastern English Channel) and 3.a (Skagerrak, Kattegat)	967
23.1	Whiting in Subarea 4 and Division 7.d	967
23.1.1	General	967
23.1.2	Fisheries.....	967
23.1.3	ICES advice.....	967
23.1.4	Management	968
23.1.5	Data available	969
23.1.6	Benchmark	972
23.1.7	Data analyses.....	973
23.1.8	Historical stock trends.....	975
23.1.9	Biological reference points.....	975
23.1.10	Short-term forecasts.....	976
23.1.11	MSY estimation and medium-term forecasts.....	977
23.1.12	Quality of the assessment	977
23.1.13	Status of the stock	978
23.1.14	Management considerations.....	978
23.2	Whiting in Division 3.a	1040
23.2.1	General	1040
23.2.2	Data analyses.....	1041
24	Witch in Subarea 4 (North Sea) and Division 3.a (Skagerrak, Kattegat) and 7.d (Eastern Channel)	1048
24.1	General	1048
24.1.1	Biology and ecosystem aspects	1048
24.1.2	Management regulations	1048
24.2	Fisheries data.....	1049
24.2.1	Historical landings.....	1049
24.2.2	InterCatch.....	1049
24.3	Survey data/recruit series	1053
24.4	Analysis of stock trends/assessment	1055
24.5	MSY proxy reference points	1058
	Annex 1: List of Participants.....	1064
	Annex 2: Recommendations.....	1066
	Annex 3: ToRs for next meeting	1067
	Annex 4: List of Stock Annexes	1068
	Annex 5: Audit Reports	1070
	Annex 6: Benchmarks and Data Problems by Stock.....	1094
	Annex 7: Update Forecasts and Assessments.....	1105
7.2	Cod in Subarea 4, Division 7.d and Subdivision 20	1106
7.2.1	New survey information	1106
7.2.2	RCT3 analysis.....	1106

7.2.3 Update protocol calculations	1106
7.2.4 Conclusions from Protocol.....	1106
7.2.5 References.....	1106
7.3 Haddock in Subarea 4 and Division 6.a and Subdivision 20.....	1109
7.3.1 New survey information	1109
7.3.2 RCT3 analysis.....	1109
7.3.3 Update protocol calculations	1109
7.3.4 Conclusions from protocol.....	1109
7.3.2 Updated forecast.....	1109
7.4 Saithe in Subarea 4, 6 and Division 3a	1115
7.5 Whiting in Subarea 4 and Division 7.d.....	1123
7.5.1 New survey information	1123
7.5.2 RCT3 analysis.....	1123
7.5.3 Update protocol calculations	1123
7.5.4 Conclusions from protocol.....	1123
7.5.5 Updated forecast	1123
7.5.6 References.....	1124
7.6 Plaice in Subarea 4 and Subdivision 20.....	1130
7.6.5.1 Full RCT3 analyses	1133
7.6.5.2 Updated forecasts.....	1135
If we only update the RCT3 analysis for age 1, then we get the following recruitment estimates table (including the underlining for the estimate used in the forecast)(Table 7.6.5)	1135
7.7 Sole in Subarea 4	1139
7.7.1 Short term forecast and June advice	1139
7.7.2 New survey information.	1139
7.7.3 RCT3 Analysis	1139
7.8 North Sea <i>Nephrops</i>	1143
7.8.1 <i>Nephrops</i> FU6 (Farn Deep)	1143
7.8.2 <i>Nephrops</i> FU7 (Fladen)	1147
7.8.3 <i>Nephrops</i> FU8 (Firth of Forth).....	1151
7.8.4 <i>Nephrops</i> FU9 (Moray Firth).....	1154
7.8.5 <i>Nephrops</i> FU34 (Devil's Hole)	1156
7.9 Audits for re-opened stocks	1160
7.9.1 Haddock in Subarea 4 and Division 6.a and Subdivision 20.....	1160
7.9.2 Saithe in Subarea 4, 6 and Division 3a.....	1160
7.9.3 Whiting in Subarea 4 and Division 7.d.....	1161
7.9.4 Plaice in Subarea 4 and Subdivision 20	1161
7.9.5 <i>Nephrops</i> FU6 (Farn Deep)	1162
7.9.6 <i>Nephrops</i> FU7 (Fladen)	1162
7.9.7 <i>Nephrops</i> FU8 (Firth of Forth).....	1163
7.9.8 <i>Nephrops</i> FU34 (Devil's Hole)	1164
Annex 8: Data call: Data submission for ICES fisheries advisory work.....	1166

Annex 9: Working Documents.....1189

**Annex 10: Review of the estimation of MSY proxy reference points for
gug.27.3a47d.....1190**

**Annex 11: Special Request - Revision of the contribution of TACs to
fisheries management and stock conservation1193**

Executive Summary

The ICES Working Group for the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak (WGNSSK) met 24 April–3 May 2018 at ILVO, Oostende, Belgium. There were 28 full and part-time participants (+ two by correspondence) from 9 countries. The main terms of reference for the Working Group were: to update, quality check and report relevant data for the working group, to update and audit the assessment and forecasts of the stocks, to produce a first draft of the advice on the fish stocks and to prepare planning for benchmarks in future years. An additional terms of reference requesting a Mohn's rho calculation for Category 1 stocks was completed; this request was to assist with a workshop that will consider catch forecasts from biased assessments. Ecosystem changes have been analytically considered in the assessments for cod, haddock and whiting in the form of varying natural mortalities estimated by the ICES Working Group on Multi Species Assessment Methods (WGSAM).

Working procedures

WGNSSK met for 10 days to deal with the TORs, including one which required MSY proxy reference points to be derived for Category 3 and 4 stocks. WGNSSK was unable to make progress on MSY proxy reference points for Category 4 *Nephrops* stocks due to data quality issues, and this work has been deferred to a dedicated workshop to take place in early 2019. MSY proxy reference points were derived for the Category 3 grey gurnard stock and were reviewed.

Data were requested through a joint DCF-based data call for all assessment working groups, and the deadline for early data delivery was difficult to meet this year because the deadline was set one week earlier than expected; nevertheless, any delays did not significantly impede work progress.

The principle analytical models used for the stock assessments were SAM, XSA, TSA and the Aarts and Poos model (AAP), as well as SURBAR and a4a (for some Category 3 stocks, but advice not provided this year for these). For Category 3 stocks, SPiCT and the Length-based Indicator (LBI) approach developed within WKLIFE were used to estimate stock status relative to reference points.

WGNSSK works in close cooperation with WGMIXFISH and assessment and forecast results are directly used by WGMIXFISH to produce mixed fisheries advice. Similar links are established between WGNSSK and WGSAM to allow for an effective exchange of data and knowledge regarding multi species assessments.

Benchmarks and Inter-benchmarks in 2017/2018

Data compilation and benchmark workshops were held in November 2017 and February 2018 (respectively) for whiting (whg.27.47d), flounder (fle.27.3a4), lemon sole (lem.27.3a77d) and witch flounder (wit.27.3a47d).

For whiting, although a complex population structure has been identified in the North Sea, literature and available data did not provide a sufficient basis for revising the stock area. The feasibility of combining the Division 3.a with Subarea 4 components was explored, but data showed there were biological reasons to leave the components as separate stocks. The new assessment was run for the North Sea and Eastern Channel (27.4 and 27.7d). As before, Subarea 27.4 represents the management unit with TAC advice to be given. No changes were made to the use of survey indices. The maturity ogive, stock weights-at-age, and natural mortality were updated with new information. Catch

data was updated in Intercatch with new data submissions for 2009–2016 and a new stratification design to allocate discard ratios and age distributions. The assessment model was changed from XSA to SAM and new reference points estimated.

For flounder, age data were sparse in the surveys and catch data. Survey indices were based on the catch weight per haul, applying a general length–weight relationship with the length distribution data by haul. Indices were generated using the delta-GAM method for Q1 from IBTS data and Q3 by combining information from three beam trawl surveys and the IBTS. Length-based data show that most flounder reach maturity above 20 cm in length. Lack of data prevented analyses of interannual trends in weight-at-age or growth. Natural mortality estimates were not available for flounder. A SPiCT model was agreed upon, which obtained robust results in terms of relative fishing mortality and relative biomass. The status of the stock in relation to a proxy for F_{MSY} is determined on an annual basis by updating the SPiCT model, where the relative values of biomass and fishing mortality gives an indication for the stock status in relation to F_{MSY} and B_{MSY} .

For lemon sole, alternate survey indices were explored. The agreed-upon method was the GAM estimation for Q1 and Q3, where the Q3 incorporates both IBTS and BTS survey data. The length coverage of the surveys was concluded to be sufficiently representative of the stock as a whole, and therefore that advice could appropriately be based on survey data alone. SMALK data were used to determine the proportion mature-at-age, mean weight-at-age in the stock, and an annual length–weight relationship. Natural mortality estimates for lemon sole are not available; total mortality is an output of the survey-based assessment. Age data were sparse; therefore, an age-based assessment was not possible. The stochastic production model SPiCT was assessed but was deemed unsuitable for use as an assessment model for lemon sole at this time. The age- and survey-based assessment model SURBAR was agreed upon. No new reference points could be proposed by WKNSEA. It is proposed that the status of the stock in relation to a proxy for F_{MSY} is determined on an annual basis through the LBI methodology.

For witch flounder, the delta-GAM approach was used to generate survey indices for IBTS Q1 and Q3 for years with age data, 2009–present. Total biomass indices were also estimated for use in the SPiCT model. Witch flounder distribution does not peak at a certain depth range, indicating they are found at depths deeper than the surveys. Stock weights-at-age and a new constant maturity ogive were estimated from survey data; natural mortality was left at 0.2. A SAM assessment model was used. The catch time-series was extended back in time by using landings from 1950 to 2008. Two new surveys of fishable stock biomass for Q1 (1983 to 2008) and Q3 (1991 to 2008) were included. Age-specific information for surveys and catches were available from 2009. The stock was upgraded to a Category 1 assessment, and new reference points estimated.

An inter-benchmark protocol meeting was held during the summer of 2017 (by correspondence) for turbot (tur.27.4). During this inter-benchmark, all available input data were screened again, including a new LPUE index from UK, a Delta-GAM survey index combining several BTS surveys and, for the first time, age-based catch data from Denmark for most recent years. Also, different models to standardise the Dutch LPUE time-series were tested. The SAM model settings were reviewed, and sensitivity runs were conducted with various combinations of input data, plus-group settings, highest age used in survey indices and different length of the assessment time-series. Decisions were made on final input data and model settings. In addition, reference point proxies

were estimated. The assessment was left as a Category 3 assessment because of a strong retrospective pattern in F. During the WGNSSK 2018 meeting, a mistake was found in the assessment configuration (from the 2017 inter-benchmark) which led to questions on the persistence of the retrospective pattern on F and assessment category used to provide advice. For this reason, an inter-benchmark has been organised for the summer of 2018 to correct the mistake (the Dutch LPUE was treated as an SSB index, instead of an exploitable biomass index), re-check the model settings (plus-group, maximum age in surveys, assessment configuration), decide on the Categorisation of the stock (whether it should be upgraded to a Category 1 assessment in the light of the new results), estimate reference points, and agree a short-term forecast.

State of the Stocks

The main impression in recent years is that fishing mortality has been reduced substantially for many North Sea stocks of roundfish and flatfish compared to the beginning of the century. All fish stocks with agreed biomass reference points are above B_{lim} , and only the SSBs of cod in 4, 7.d and 20, and sole in 7.d are below $MSY B_{trigger}$ at the beginning of 2018. Several North Sea stocks are exploited around or below F_{MSY} levels; exceptions are cod in 4, 7.d and 20, haddock in 4, 6.a and 20, whiting in 4 and 7.d and sole in 4 (the latter only slightly above F_{MSY}). An important feature is that recruitment still remains poor compared to historic average levels for most gadoids.

WGNSSK is also responsible for the assessment of several flatfish species that are mainly by catch in demersal fisheries (brill, turbot in 4, turbot in 3a, witch, lemon sole, dab, flounder, striped red mullet, whiting in 3a), along with *Nephrops* in 4 outside functional units. For all of these stocks, catch advice was provided in 2015 for the first time, and again in 2017, but in 2018, it was only necessary to determine whether the perception of the stocks has changed compared to 2017; because these perceptions have not changed, no reopening was needed for any of these stocks. In 2018, assessments and advice was prepared for data-limited *Nephrops* stocks (FUs 5, 10, 32, 33 and 34), pollack and grey gurnard, along with the annual advice for Category 1 finfish and *Nephrops* stocks.

Reopening of advice was triggered for several stocks in the autumn, namely haddock in 4, 6.a and 3.a.20, whiting in 4 and 7.d, saithe in 4, 6 and 3.a, plaice in 4 and 3.a.20, and *Nephrops* in FU 6, 7, 8 and 34 (Annex 7).

The summary of stock status is as follows:

- 1) *Nephrops*: For FU 6, the stock has increased since 2015 and is currently just above $MSY B_{trigger}$, while harvest rates have dipped below F_{MSY} in 2017 after a long period of being above this level. The stock size for FU 7 declined from the highest observed value in 2008 to the lowest abundance estimate in the time-series in 2015, but has since increased strongly and is currently above $MSY B_{trigger}$, while the harvest rate has declined since 2010 and remains well below F_{MSY} . For FU 8, the stock size has been above $MSY B_{trigger}$ for most of the time-series, and the harvest rate varying and now above F_{MSY} . For FU 9, the stock has been above $MSY B_{trigger}$ for the entire time-series, while the harvest rate has fluctuated around F_{MSY} and is now just below it. The stock size of *Nephrops* in 3.a is considered to be stable, while the harvest rate for this stock is currently below F_{MSY} .

The FUs 5, 10, 32, 33 and 34 are data limited, and new catch advice was provided in 2018 (biennial advice, for 2019 and 2020). Furthermore, FU 34 was

re-opened in the autumn of 2018 following a survey in June 2018 showing a significant increase compared to the previous year.

No new advice was provided for *Nephrops* outside the functional units in 2018.

A workshop is being planned for early 2019 to consider the framework for providing advice for *Nephrops* Category 1 and 4 stocks, including the estimation of reference points or proxies for them.

- 2) Cod in 4, 7.d and 20: Fishing mortality has declined since 2000, but remains above F_{MSY} . Spawning-stock biomass has increased from the historical low in 2006, but is still below $MSY B_{trigger}$. Recruitment since 1998 remains poor.
- 3) Haddock in 4, 6.a and 20: Fishing mortality has been fluctuating above F_{MSY} for most of the time-series and is above F_{MSY} in 2017. Spawning-stock biomass has been above $MSY B_{trigger}$ in most of the years since 2002. Recruitment since 2000 has been characterized by a low average level with occasional larger year classes, the size of which is diminishing.
- 4) Whiting in 4 and 7.d: Spawning-stock biomass has fluctuated around, and is now above, $MSY B_{trigger}$. Fishing mortality has been above F_{MSY} throughout the time-series, apart from 2005. Since 2002 recruitment has been generally lower than in previous years. This stock was benchmarked in 2018, during which estimates of stock weights and maturity at age were updated, which resulted in a downward rescaling of the SSB. Furthermore, new natural mortality estimates were used, the recruitment age changed from age 0 to age 1, and the assessment model changed from XSA to SAM. Reference points were adapted accordingly.
- 5) Saithe in 3.a, 4 and 6: Spawning-stock biomass has fluctuated without trend and has been above $MSY B_{trigger}$ since 1996. Fishing mortality has been decreasing, and it has been below F_{MSY} since 2013. Recruitment has fluctuated over time and has been below the long-term average since 2003.
- 6) Plaice in 4 and 20: The spawning-stock biomass is well above $MSY B_{trigger}$, and has markedly increased since 2008, following a substantial reduction in fishing mortality since 1999. Recruitment has been fluctuating around the long-term average since the mid-1990s. Since 2009, fishing mortality has been estimated at around F_{MSY} .
- 7) Sole in 4: The spawning-stock biomass has increased since 2007 and has been estimated at above $MSY B_{trigger}$ since 2012. Fishing mortality has declined since 1999 and is close to F_{MSY} in 2017. Recruitment has fluctuated without trend since the early 1990s, but without the large year classes that occurred in the preceding period.
- 8) Plaice in 7.d: The spawning-stock biomass has increased rapidly from 2010 following a period of high recruitment between 2009 and 2015, and is now well above the $MSY B_{trigger}$. Fishing mortality has declined since the early 2000s and it has been below F_{MSY} since 2009. Recruitment is currently around the average of the time-series.
- 9) Sole in 7.d: The spawning-stock biomass has been fluctuating without trend since the 1980s, but has decreased and is now around B_{lim} . Fishing mortality has been decreasing since 2014 and is below F_{MSY} in 2017. Recruitment has been fluctuating without trend, and there has been no strong recruitment since 2011.

- 10) Category 3–6 finfish stocks: In 2018, new advice has been produced for pol.27.3a4 (Category 5) and gug.27.3a47d (Category 3), but not for several other stocks (bll.27.3a47de, dab.27.3a4, fle.27.3a4, lem.27.3a47d, mur.27.3a47d, tur.27.3a, tur.27.4, whg.27.3a, all Category 3 stocks, and wit.27.3a47d, now a Category 1 stock), for which biennial advice was given in 2017; it is expected that tur.27.4 will be upgraded to a Category 1 stock following the inter-benchmark meeting this summer.
- i. Pollack (pol.27.3a4): Since 1977 there have been two periods of high catches. In recent years, catches have been low, albeit fairly stable.
 - ii. Grey gurnard (gug.27.3a47d): The time-series of mature biomass index of grey gurnard from the International Bottom Trawl Survey quarter 1 (IBTS-Q1) shows a strong increase from the beginning of 1990s and has since fluctuated at a high level.
- 11) Norway Pout in 3.a and 4: The stock size is highly variable from year to year, due to recruitment variability and a short life span. Spawning-stock biomass has been above B_{pa} since 2007. Fishing mortality has been fluctuating at a lower level since 1995. Recruitment in 2018 was high, while recruitment in 2017 was slightly below the long-term average.