

ECOREGION **General advice**
SUBJECT **Evaluation of the appropriateness of buffer zones**

Advice summary

Both the VME location accuracy and a buffer zone are considered when delineating the closure boundary around VMEs. ICES is confident that the buffer zone considerations used to define the boundaries around the area closures are appropriate and therefore adequate for the protection of VMEs. A schematic diagram of the approach to generate buffer zones is presented. The buffer zones will always be included in ICES advice and will be illustrated where appropriate to the scale of the closure.

Request

ICES is requested to evaluate whether buffer zones applied in the current bottom fishing closures are appropriate. Additionally, ICES is requested to include, specify and illustrate buffer zones in its future advice on closures in the Regulatory Area as appropriate.

Advice

Two different considerations are used to delineate buffer zones around VMEs; one is linked to the VME location accuracy, the other to setting a buffer zone around the VME location (Figure 1.5.5.2.1).




Figure 1.5.5.2.1 Three conceptual examples of the two considerations for delineating buffer zones around VMEs, applied to three theoretical examples of VME closures. Example 1: isolated VME detection with low geospatial certainty (e.g. trawl track); Example 2: isolated VME detection with high geospatial certainty (e.g. ROV observation); and Example 3: area identified as hosting a VME.

Consideration 1. VME location accuracy

The data used by ICES to assess the likelihood of VME presence consists of mainly point records of species (Figure 1.5.5.2.1). While recognising this is the best available data, there are varying levels of spatial uncertainty associated with the records, ranging from trawl bycatch with low spatial accuracy (Example 1) to dynamically positioned ROV observations with high spatial accuracy (Example 2) and areas identified as hosting VMEs (Example 3). This uncertainty in VME location is dealt with by outlining the minimum boundary that encompasses the records. In the case of records derived from trawling, the deviation perpendicular to the track is considered negligible relative to the length of the track and is not taken into account in the VME location.

While spatial accuracy of the position of VMEs has improved over time, there are still a high number of records where the location accuracy is unknown. In such cases a simple buffer is applied (see Consideration 2).

Consideration 2. Buffer zone around VME location

ICES considers a buffer zone to be a spatial margin of assurance around the VME to avoid adverse impact (Figure 1.5.5.2.1). The spatial extension of the buffer zone may vary and is based on the following:

- The potential for fishing gear to stray into the VME is related to the uncertainty of the location of the fishing gear relative to the known location of the vessel. This will be a function of water depth and the trawl warp length deployed. In deep-water trawling, the typical warp length deployed decreases with water depth, from around 3:1 at 200 m to 2:1 at 500 m and more. For VMEs that occur on flat or undulating seabed a buffer zone of approximately two (>500 m depth) or three times (< 500 m depth) the local depth is advised.

- In the case of VMEs on very steep slopes, the risk of straying of bottom trawls is mitigated by the fishers' own incentive to avoid the steep slopes and cliff edges, in which case the buffer zone may be reduced.
- In some cases the presence of geomorphological features are used to define boundaries for closures on the basis that they are considered to be VME elements, in which case the VME reflects the topographic relief of the VME element without a buffer zone.

As both the VME location accuracy and a buffer zone are considered when advising on a closure boundary around VMEs, ICES is confident that the buffer zone considerations used to extend closures beyond the immediate estimated position of a VME are appropriate and therefore adequate for the protection of VMEs.

The buffer zone approach described here does not take into account any issues specifically related to enforcement.

Source

ICES 2013. Report of the ICES/NAFO Joint Working Group on Deep-water Ecology (WGDEC). ICES CM 2013/ACOM: 28.