

Report of the 1962 Iceland Trawl Mesh Selection Working Group

AMENDMENTS

- Table 4, line re "Goncharov", and Table 7, column under "Goncharov", 50% Ret. Length should read 37.2 and Selection Factor should read 3.2.
 - Table 7, column headed "Goncharov", Sub-column headed 'Meshed' refers to numbers meshed at each cm length in the whole trawl, not just in the cod end.
 - p.2, para. 5. Add sentence "The Soviet experiments also included tests with a chafer of the same dimensions as the top side of the cod-end and laced mesh for mesh without an opening near the codline."
 - p.4, para. 4. After sentence 2, add "The Soviet conclusions were that their two types of chafer exerted the same influence on selectivity provided that the length of the mesh-for-mesh chafer was only half that of the modified ICNAF type.
 - p.6, para. 1, lines 2-7. Delete "In the casethe selection range."

A. R. Margetts
30th April, 1963.

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

Report of the 1962 Iceland Trawl Mesh Selection Working Group

Introduction

Following a request from the Permanent Commission, the North-Western Working Group was set up/to investigate the state of the fish stocks in the northern part of the Convention Area outside the north-east Arctic. This Group was to analyse the effects on the fish stocks of further increases of mesh sizes above 110 mm.

During its work the Group felt the need for more selectivity data for some of the more important species and it therefore passes the following recommendation for the consideration of the Liaison Committee:-

"1. Selectivity data for cod, haddock, redfish and coalfish in Icelandic waters are needed. It is thought that these could most effectively be obtained by an international experiment along the lines of those carried out in the North Sea and the Arctic in 1959 and 1960. Accordingly, the Group recommends that the attention of the Chairman of the Comparative Fishing Committee be drawn to this proposition at an early date, with a view to planning an experiment of this kind at the 1961 meeting of the Council".

This recommendation was adopted by the Comparative Fishing Committee at its 1961 meeting and Mr. Jón Jónsson was asked to act as Organizer of the experiment.

During the detailed planning he was assisted by Mr. A. R. Margetts who also undertook to work out the first results for presentation at the 1962 ICES Meeting.

The experiments were carried out during the months April to September, 1962.

As an outcome of co-operation between ICES and ICNAF, Canada also took part in the experiment.

At the 1962 ICES Meeting, Mr. Margetts gave a summary of the results obtained so far, and at that meeting it was decided to have the results worked out in full detail by a Working Group. This Group was to be composed of representatives of the countries which took part in the experiment. Mr. Jón Jónsson was made Convenor of this Group with special assistance by Mr. Margetts.

The Group met at Charlottenlund, Copenhagen, 3-7th December, 1962.

Participants:-

Jón Jónsson (Convenor, Iceland)

A. R. Margetts (England)

H. Bohl (German Federal Republic)

E. Bratberg (Norway)
J. Pope (Scotland)

A. I. Treschev (U.S.S.R.)

L. K. Boerema (Liaison Committee)

E. Akyuz (by special agreement with FAO).

Unfortunately a representative for Canada was not able to attend the meeting but his data with a preliminary working-upwere available to the Group.

The Experiments

During the summer months of 1962 seven countries with eight ships took part in co-ordinated trawl mesh selection experiments in Icelandic waters.

The chief aim of the experiments was to establish the selectivity on the principal commercial species of double-braided manila trawl cod-ends. This was to be done by use of the covered cod-end technique. Further aims were the comparison of selectivities measured by the alternate or paired haul techniques with those measured by the covered cod-end technique, measurement of the selectivity of cod-ends made from various other commonly used materials, and investigation of the effect on selectivity of forms of cod-end top-side chafers.

Table 1 shows the types of ships that took part in the experiments, their gear and their working time at Iceland.

			Ship		Tra	wl	TuT 3	T.T 1 - 1 - 1	No.of
Country	Name	Tons	Length m o.a.	н.Р.	Type	Headline length n	Working dates	Working areas	hauls
Iceland	María Julía	138	27.8	470	Granton	17	28/3-1/4 20-28/7 6-8/8	SW Iceland N Iceland S & W Iceland	13 43 32
Norway "	G. O. Sars Johan Hjort	600 697	52 52.3	1,200	51 11	20 20	10 - 13/5 13 - 16/9	SW Iceland SW Iceland	16
Scotland	Explorer	862	61	1,200	18	2),	16-25/6	N Iceland	26
Fed. Rep. Germany	Anton Dohrn	99 9	62.3	850	tt	32	9-26/7	N,NW & Wiceland	62
U.S.S.R.	Goncharov	3,000	80	2,000	it .	35	27/7 - 28/8	N & NW Iceland	26
England	ERNEST HOLD	604	59	900	11	25	20-28/7	N Iceland	51
Canada	A.TCameron						20-28/7	N Iceland	51

The ships were of three classes, the large stern-trawler GONCHAROV, the small cutter-type side trawler MARIA JULIA, and the others all side trawlers of similar size to each other.

In July ERNEST HOLT, A. T. CAMERON and MARIA JULIA fished simultaneously on the same grounds, otherwise ships worked individually.

G. O. SARS, JOHAN HJORT, EXPLORER, ANTON DOHRN, and GONCHAROV made only covered hauls. MARIA JULIA made mostly covered hauls with some alternate hauls, while ERNEST HOLT and A. T. CAMERON each made some covered hauls but took advantage of their fishing together to make paired alternate hauls, one ship fishing a small mesh simultaneously with the other fishing a large mesh, and each ship changing from large to small mesh and vice versa after two hauls. ERNEST HOLT, A.T.CAMERON, MARIA JULIA, G. O. SARS and JOHAN HJORT used only manila cod-ends. ANTON DOHRN used manila and Perlon, EXPLORER manila and nylon, and GONCHAROV manila and capron. GONCHAROV was the only ship to employ a top-side chafer and to make comparative hauls with and without the chafer.

All covered cod-end hauls were made with top-side covers of 35-70 mm mesh made of polythene, hemp or polyanide, and all except the nylon cod-end used by EXPLORER and the Norwegian cod-ends were with the bottom side of the cod-end blinded on the inside.

The Russian top-side chafer was a modification of the ICNAF type; its specifications were that it was of the same mesh size as the cod-end, of the same length, fixed at the forward end and two sides and open at the rear, and laced mesh for mesh at the forward end of the cod-end at 100 meshes width but maintaining its 100 meshes width where the cod-end tapered to and remained at 80 meshes.

The great majority of the hauls were of duration between one and two hours. Fish were measured to the nearest cm, the lengths being total except by Canada (fork length) and without the lobes of the tail fin smoothed down except by Scotland. Girth measurements were made of samples, either or both of the natural maximum body girth or maximum head girth (around rear edge of operculum) being recorded.

Weather conditions during the course of the experiments were mostly very fine indeed.

In the absence of the official ICES gauge, meshes were measured with other spring-loaded gauges which were subsequently calibrated against the ICES gauge.

The Data

The data collected were on selection of cod, haddock and redfish (type marinus) by cod-ends made of double manila, double capron, double nylon and double perlon. In nearly all cases the data collected were insufficient to derive selection curves for single hauls and, therefore, comparable hauls were grouped together. In most cases this meant combining hauls made in the same area within a short period of days. Exceptions to this were some data from ANTON DOHRN, MARIA JULIA and G. O. SARS, which were combined from different localities. Where data were so combined, this was justified by the similarity of the length composition of the catches in the separate localities.

The selection curves were drawn for covered, alternate and paired hauls. The percentages retained in the c d-end at each centimetre length were plotted, and the curves were fitted to these points by eye. The 50% lengths, as well as the selection ranges, were read off the so constructed curve. These figures are given in Tables 2-4 which also occurain other items of information recommended as relevant in the report of the ICES Mesh Selection Working Group (ICES, 1963). In these tables, values followed by a question mark indicate the rather unreliable results which were obtained from meagre or variable data. The selection range values were rounded to the nearest centimetre and the number of fish in the selection range for cod-end and cover were rounded to the nearest ten fish. The 50% lengths for haddock caught by A. T. CAMERON, measured fork length, were adjusted to total length.

The quantities caught in the cod-end and cover were weighed aboard A. T. CAMERON, EXPLORER and GONCHAROV, basketed on ANTON DOHRN, ERNEST HOLT and G. O. SARS and counted on MARIA JULIA.

The grouped data from which selection factors were calculated are given in Tables 5, 6 and 7.

During the course of experiments, girth measurements were made on board A. T. CAMERON (cod, haddock and redfish, head and maximum body girth), EXPLORER (cod and haddock, head girth), ANTON DOHRN (redfish types marinus and mentella, maximum body girth), and MARIA JULIA (cod and haddock, maximum body girth). The average girths at lengths are given in Tables 8, 9 and 10.

Data were collected and recorded separately for redfish meshed in the cod-end. (Table 7). These data were, however, included with the cod-end catches in calculating the selectivity values.

In general, although there were exceptions, the experiments were not conspicuously successful and the quality of data and results was not always as good as might be desired. The most obvious reason for this was that in the covered cod-end experiments the selection range of the mesh in use frequently did not match well with the length distribution of the fish available, and in the alternate haul experiments there were very marked differences between the length distributions of the fish being caught on the same ground from haul to haul. Thus, with the numbers of hauls often being rather few, the selection curves and the 50% points were not always clearly defined. A notable exception to this was ANTON DOHRN redfish, and, amongst the other data, some such as GONCHAROV cod, MARIA JULIA and ERNEST HOLT cod with large mesh, EXPLORER and ERNEST HOLT N. Iceland haddock, and MARIA JULIA S.E. Iceland haddock yielded more definite results than the remainder. So, in considering all the results in tables 2-4, it is reasonable to attribute a substantial amount of the variation in selection factors to experimental variation.

Conclusions

1. Cod. Nine sets of data gave selection factors for covered cod-ends of double manila, of which two are of doubtful validity. The ordinary unweighted average of these nine values is 3.2 which is unaltered if the two less reliable figures, 2.8 and 3.3, are omitted. The range of selection factors is 2.8 to 3.4 The summary tables do not point to any relationship between size of catch and selection factor, but the grouping of the data in the summary tables could mask any such effect. However, in the cases where it was possible to examine smaller groupings, there was no apparent effect of catch size on selectivity.

The average value of 3.2 for the selection factor is close to the average (3.3) of results previously available for the Icelandic region. (ICES, 1963.) The present figure is lower than those available for any other region.

Selection ranges varied from 8 to 18 cm with an average value of 13 cm. The selection ranges show a tendency to increase with the extreme range of mesh sizes in the cod-end. The relationship between selection range and average mesh size is somewhat more marked. No correlation was evident between mesh size and extreme mesh range.

Selection factors from paired and alternate hauls are less reliable due to the marked variation between hauls both in length composition and in quantity caught, to the small number of hauls, and also, in the case of A. T. CAMERON at Skalfandi, to accumulations of Laminaria in the cod-end. Such figures as were obtained, however, show that in this experiment the selection factors from paired hauls are somewhat lower than those from covered hauls while that from the alternate hauls is considerably higher.

Selection factors were also obtained for the polyamide materials capron and nylon, the former material being used in cod-ends fitted both with and without top-side chafers. There is no evidence that the type of chafer used by GONCHAROV influenced the selection of cod. The selection factors for the polyamide materials are higher than the average for double manila, and the differential calculated within ships is close to, but lower than 10%.

The selection ranges for the synthetic cod-ends also show an increase with both range of mesh size and average mesh size, although for these cod-ends mesh size and mesh range are positively related.

Girth measurements of cod at Iceland were taken on A. T. CAMERCN, MARIA JULIA and EXPLORER. (Table 6.) It appears that N. Iceland cod is, in shape, intermediate between North Sea (Margetts, 1957) and Arctic cod (1959 International Arctic trawl mesh experiments; ICES, 1963). There appears to be no substantial cod shape differences between N. Iceland fishing grounds such as to affect selection. The regressions of girth on length are similar, so a comparison of girths can conveniently be made at an arbitarily chosen length, in this case 50 cm as being near the 50% lengths in Arctic and Iceland experiments. There were differences between the various ships' girth measurements both at Iceland and in the Arctic, but it is seen that the two independent measurements at Iceland both give a head girth measurement at length 50 cm (23.7 and 24.0 cm), appreciably greater than the biggest Arctic measurements (21.8, 21.2 and 23.1 cm) and about 2 cm greater than the mean of the Arctic measurements. The maximum body girth at length 50 cm measured at Iceland (24.0 and 26.2 cm) was similar to, or rather bigger than, the comparable Arctic measurements (22.6, 23.8 and 25.0 cm). The maximum body girth measurement is affected by such as feeding and "blown" condition, but it is the only one available for comparison of North Sea and Iceland cod, and, as such, shows North Sea cod measured on an English ship to have about the same girth as Iceland cod measured on A. T. CAMERON and MARIA JULIA.

The foregoing results are all from hauls made off the north coast of Iceland in the summer. Differences in shape and condition of cod between north and south Iceland are known to exist, the fish in the south generally being thicker, length for length, than those in the north. It would accordingly be expected that selectivity in the south would be lower than in the north. Seasonal differences within the northern area are unlikely to affect selectivity appreciably.

The mean of all the Arctic cod covered double manila trawl cod-end mesh selection factors from the ICES Mesh Selection Working Group report was 3.5. (Note: that report considers that, allowing for cover effects and catch size, the true factor might be 3.7). The comparable factors for North Sea and Iceland cod, both from limited experimental evidence, were both 3.4; the new evidence from 1962 indicates a selection factor for Iceland of 3.2 which is 8.5% below the Arctic factor while the head girth at Iceland was 9% greater than in the Arctic. Body girth measurements suggest that the North Sea cod selection factor should be about the same as the Iceland factor; such limited experimental

evidence as exists indicates it to be rather higher, but the difference could well be due to experimental variation.

2. Haddock. The data yielded sixteen estimates of the selection factor for covered cod-ends of double manila ranging from 3.0 to 3.6 with an unweighted average of 3.35. These results came from hauls taken both off the north and off the south coast of Iceland and no significant differences were found between these two areas. The data were not sufficient to analyse the relationship between catch size and selection factor. The average selection factor is higher than that of 3.2 calculated from previously available data for the Icelandic area and also higher than those for all other ICES areas for which data are available, although not very different from that for the Arctic. (ICES, 1963.) The reasons for this difference are not known, but the range of results suggests that at least not all of the difference is due to experimental variation in 1962.

The selection ranges from the covered double manila hauls vary from 4 to 13 cm with an average value of 9 cm, of which most of the lower values are considered doubtful. There is a clear relation between selection range and mesh size, the selection range increasing with the mesh size. On the other hand there is no relationship between selection range and the extreme range of mesh sizes in the cod-end.

Selection factors for double capron again do not show any real evidence of an effect on selection factor of the top-side chafer used by GONCHAROV.

EXPLORER data show the selectivity on haddock of double nylon to be about 10% higher than that of double manila, but GONCHARCV data indicate no selectivity difference between these two materials on haddock.

The polyamide naterials show a relationship between selection range and mesh size similar to that for double manila. hese materials a relationship is also found between selection range and extreme range of mesh sizes, the latter being directly related to average mesh size for nylon and capron.

Girth measurements of haddock at Iceland were taken on thr of the ships; on two of these the maximum body girths were measured and these at total length 50 cm (25.6 and 27.7 cm) were of the same order as for North Sea haddock (27.2 cm, Margetts, 1954). Comparable Arctic haddock girth measurements are not available.

3. Redfish. The calculated selection factors for covered double manila hauls range from 2.2 to 3.2. In respect of this wide variation the present experiment is in agreement with previous experiments elsewhere.

The results obtained by ANTON DCHRN, when hauls are grouped according to total cod-end catch sizes above and below 500 kg, indicate lower selectivity with higher catches. The data tend to support the earlier observations (ICES, 1963) that there is an increase in selection factor with mesh size.

The selection ranges were difficult to derive in most cases, but reliable estimates indicate a selection range of about 16 cm.

Selection factors obtained for cod-ends of polyamide materials lie within the upper part of the range of selection factors for double namila. However, within-ship comparisons indicate no difference between polyamide and manila. It is noted that the selection factors greater than 3.0 were all obtained from the area SW Iceland while the values lower than this were obtained from W and N Iceland, but this could well be an experimental effect.

Redfish meshed in the cod-end were recorded separately on ANTON DOHRN and GONCHAROV. In ANTON DCHRN hauls, appreciable meshing (more than 5% meshed at any centimetre length) was confined to a length range extending over 12-15 cm. On plotting smoothed percentage meshed against length it was seen that, in the two manila cod-ends, at any centimetre length, the meshed proportion of the fish held by the cod-end rose to about 26% and 15% respectively, being above 10% over a length range of 6-11 cm. In the two perlon cod-ends, the proportion meshed

reached 20% and 30% respectively, and the length range of more than 10% meshed was 9 cm. In the case of GCNCHAROV the meshing size range extended over 20 cm. Over 6 cm of this range about 50% of the fish held by the codend were meshed, and over much of the remainder of the range over 20% were meshed. In the case of ANTCN DOHRN the length range where most fish were meshed was in the upper part of the trawl cod-end selection range while in GONCHAROV it extended over almost all of the selection range. ANTCN DCHRN, per haul, caught larger numbers of fish in the selection range than did GONCHAROV. The pattern of meshing in the cod-end was similar to that of gill-net selection.

Comment

In these experiments a considerable amount of fishing time was devoted by A. T. CAMERON and ERWEST HOLT, and rather less by MARIA JULIA, to measuring selectivity by the alternate and paired haul technique. By considering hauls within ships the data from all three ships could be treated as for alternate hauls; by considering hauls of A. T. CAMERON and ERNEST HOLT together they could be treated as for paired hauls since it was arranged that while one ship was using big mesh the other was using small mesh. Yet the results achieved were some of the least satisfactory in a series of experiments which yielded quite a lot of rather uncertain results. The fundamental reason for this was that the length distribution and quantities of fish from place to place at quite short distances and even on one ground between different times varied very markedly. This meant that the alternate hauls were almost useless while the paired hauls. were rather better yet to give clear results needed many more hauls than were possible. Plotting of selection curves and interpretation of even approximate 50% points was so unsure that it was thought best not to include suggested results from alternate houls in the report table.

A feature of the selection curves plotted for the Iceland mesh experiments, and one also of the Barents Sea selection curves earlier (ICES, 1963), was that very frequently they did not smoothly approach the zero retained level, but rather there was a band of on lengths where the percentage retained would have been expected to be near zero but where it was in fact around the 25% retained level. This may be a masking effect, but due not so much to the cover as to other fish in the catch. It would therefore be expected to be a real occurrence rather than an experimental artefact.

References

I.C.E.S., 1963. Nesh Selection Working Group. Coop. Res. Rep. No. 2.

Margetts, A. R. (1957) The length-girth relationships in whiting and cod and their application to mesh selection. I.C.E.S, Journ. du Conseil, 23 (1): 64-71.

Margetts, A. R. (1954) The length-girth relationships in haddock and whiting and their application to mesh selection. I.C.E.S., Journ. du Conseil, 20 (1): 56-61.

SPECIES: COD

					Runnage		Mes	h size (mm))	
Ship	Gear	Date	Locality	Material	m/kg	Method	mean	range	s.e. of mean	50% length
A. T. Cameron		24-25/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	120+)			35.0
Anton Dohrn		9-14/7 62	N. + NW.Iceland	D. Manila	163	Cover	138	129-146		40.2
Explorer		16-20/6 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	112	99-123		37.6
		22-25/6 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	127	113-163		40.1
		21-22/6 32	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	132	119-149		42.9 ?
Goncharcv		28/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	141+)	122-155		49.0
Ernest Holt		24-25/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	132	113-143		37.5 ?
María Julía		26-27/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	117	104-134		39.0
		25/7 and 12-13/8 62	N. + NW.Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	138	117-153		47.0
A. T. Cameron .		20-21/7 62	NW. Iceland	D. Manila		Paired	120			32 ?
Ernest Holt		26-27/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Paired	132	113-143		42 ?
María Julía		23-27/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Alternate	97	82-113		39.8 ?
Explorer		18-21/6 62	N. Iceland	D. Nylon		Cover	89	80-95		31.0 ?
Goncharov		27/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Capron		Cover	125+)	111-141		44.7
		29-30/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Capronx)		Cover	108+)	102-118		44.0
		28-29/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Capron ^x)		Cover	126+)	113-150		41.5

x) with topside chafers (ICNAF type)
+) not corrected to ICES gauge.

	Sel. range	No. of	Av. duration of	Towing speed	Aver Tot. weight	age per haul of catch (kg)	Total o	f all hauls in S.R.
Sel. factor	(cm)	hauls	tow (min.)	(knots)	C.E.	Cr.	C.E.	Cr.
2.9	11	9	60	3.5	2447	268	28€0	1860
2.9	12	17	84	4.0	555	160	500	330
3.4	8	7	60	4	366	132	180	170
3.2	16	8	60	4	674	177	3 30	370
3.3 ?	18	3	60	4	552	309	60	90
3.4	12	5	90	3.5	1451	844	340	370
2.8 ?	16 ?	6	60	3.5	2640	310	3240	2000
3.3	12	6	6●	3.5	-	-	110	110
3.4	16	12	60	3.5	-	-	660	920
2.7 ?	12 ?	8	60	3.5	628		380	
3.2 ?	8 ?	4	60	3.5	755		340	
4.1 ?	8 ?	10	54	3.5	-	-	95	
3.5 ?	8 ?	5	60	4	475	56	20	40
3.6	13	5	80	3.5	1144	119	440	320
4.1	7	3	80	3.5	1364	489	330	390
3.3	13	5	85	3.5	1526	501	700	640

	~	D. I	T 12 h	Material	Runnage m/kg	Method	Mesh	size (mm)		
Ship	Gear	Date	Locality	Material	111/11-0	110 0120 4	mean	range	s.e. of mean	50% length
A. T. Cameron		24-25/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	120+)			35.5
Anton Dohrn		9-14/7 62	N. & NW.Iceland	D. Manila	163	Cover	138	129-146		42.2
Explorer		16-20/6 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	112	99-123		35.6
		22-25/6 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	127	113-143		41.6
Goncharov		28/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	141+)	122-155		50.0
Ernest Holt		24-25/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	132	113-143		46.0
María Julía		24-27/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	117	104-134		39.0
		28/3-1/4 62	SW. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	126			42.4
		6-17/8 62	S. & SW. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	138	119-153		43.0
		25/7 and 12-13/8 62	N. & NW. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	138	117-153		49.6
G. O. Sars		10-11/5 62	SW. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	98	87-112		31.0
		10+13/5 62	S. & SW. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	9 9	92-109		35.4
		12/5 62	SW. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	103	91-119		34.0
		lo+13/5 62	S. & SW. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	104	91-124		36.2
		10-11/5 62	SW. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	120	102-134		33.8
		12-13/5 62	SW. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	122	107-138		41.2
Explorer		18-21/6 62	N. Iceland	D. Nylon		Cover	89	8o -9 5		32.1
Goncharov		27/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Capron		Cover	125+)	111-145		45.3
		29-30/7 62	N. Iceland	D. Capron ^x)		Cover	108+)	102-118		56.7 ?
		28 - 29 /7 62	N. Iceland	D. Capron ^x)		Cover	126 ⁺⁾	113-150		42.5

x) with topside chafers (ICNAF type).

⁺⁾ not corrected to ICES gauge.

of the control of the	Sel. range	No. of	Av. duration of	Towing speed	Averag Tot. weigh	ge per haul nt of catch (kg)	Total of all hauls No. of fish in S.R.		
Sel.factor	(cm)	hauls	tow (min.)	(knots)	C.E.	Cr.	C.E.	Cr.	
3.0	lo ?	9	60	3.5	2447	268	3 9o	830	
3.1	12	16	83	4	52o	150	380	340	
3.2	9	7	60	4	366	132	300	390	
3.3	11	8	60	4	674	177	160	180	
3.5	13	5	97	3.5	1451	844	3360	2930	
3.5	lo	11	60	3.5	264o	31o	330	350	
3.3	9	6	60	3.5	-	-	270	170	
3.4	11	13	56	3.5	_	-	940	880	
3.5	13	14	60	3.5	-	_	84o	% 10	
3.6	12	12	60	3.5	-	_	270	320	
3.2	4 ?	4	48	4.0	2455	85	50	50	
3.6	5 ?	2	45	3	1100	110	40	60	
3.3	6 ?	3	25	4	825	192	30	40	
3.5	5	2	45	4	1818	238	90	50	
3.2	9	3	41	4	1750	493	440	360	
3.4	9 ?	2	45	3.5	1575	363	180	120	
3.6	5	5	60	4	475	56	200	360	
3.5	11	5	80	3.5	1144	119	100	80	
3.4 ?	9 ?	3	80	3.5	1364	489	300	200	
3.4	11 ?	5	\$ 5	3.5	1526	5ol	250	240	

.

SPECIES: REDFISHX

Ship	Gear	Date	Locality	Material	Runnage m/kg	Method	Mesh si mean	ze (mm) range	s.e. of mean	50% length
Anton Dohrn		14-17/7 62	W. Iceland	D. Manila	163	Cover	139	129-146		39.6
		24-26/7 62	SW. Iceland	D. Manila	120	Cover	149	140-164		46.9
Explorer		16-20/6 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	112	99-123		24.8 ?
		22-25/6 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	127	113-143		27.5
		21-22/6 62	N. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	132	119-149		36.9
Johan Hjort		13/9 62	SW. Iceland	D. Manila		Cover	108	86-125		35.0 ?
Anton Dohrn	·	17-20/7 62	W. Iceland	D. Perlon	210	Cover	132	124-139		38.5
		21-23/7 62	SW. Iceland	D. Perlon	200	Cover	143	135-150	,	43.9
Explorer	, ,	18-21/6 62	N. Iceland	D. Nylon		Cover	89	80-95		24.1 ?
Concharov		5/8 62	W. Iceland	D. Capron		Cover	118	107-125		34.2

x of type <u>marinus</u>, except for "Goncharov" which included a small percentage of <u>mentella</u>.

	Sel.range No. of hauls		Av.duration of Towing speed		Average p Tot. weight	er haul of catch (kg)	Total of all hauls No. of fish in S. R.		
Col.factor	(cm)	hauls	tow (min.)	(knots)	C.E.	Cr.	C. E.	Cr.	
2.9	16	11	108	4	435	292	2680	3670	
3.1	15 ?	10	174	4	661	665	2000	2700	
2.2 ?	7 ?	6	60	4	366	132	50	40	
2.2	16	8	60	4	674	177	2280	2000	
2.8	15 ?	3	60	4	552	309	500	330	
3.2 ?	5 ?	2	75	3	595	185	20	20	
2.9	16	17	100	4.0	659	493	8080	12490	
3.1	15 ?	7	137	4.0	558	481	1220	1740	
2.7 ?	6 ?	3	60	4	475	56	70	60	
2.9	8	· 3	60	3.5	258	240	510	430	

v.

· ·

Species Ship Area Date Codend Mean mesh size (rm) Method No. of hauls Haul duration (mins) Average total catch (kg)	N + 9-1 D	Cod con Do NW Ic 4/7/1 Mani 138 Cover 17 84 160	eland 962 la	"A. T. Skja 24-2 Manil	Cod Camer lafand 5/7/19 a. cove: 120 over	i 62	"A. T. N. July D I	Cod Camero Icelano 7 1962 Manila 120 ed haul 8	L	
Length (cm)	C.E.	Cov.	%	C.E.	Cov.	%	A.T.C. 120 mm	E.H. 75 mm	120 mm 75 m %	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 53 39 41 42 44 44 49 50 51 52 53 55 55 56 66 66 67 68 69 70 51	1 3 5 6 5 8 5 4 1 3 6 8 3 7 8 4 4 5 5 7 7 5 6 4 5 5 7 7 9 0 9 0 0 7 5 4 8 6 6 5 8 8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 4308 346 3392 439 439 430 291 301 1257 78 37 67 434 222	0.08.30.62.2486072006.029741.4415.60991.453.466005000000000000000000000000000000000	433309846978 11346889987664671740 113468899876646711740 1134688998766467322222111139972533 113468899876646732222211111111111111111111111111111111	2 2 6 3 4 7 1 8 8 4 7 4 9 3 2 2 6 6 5 2 6 6 5 3 4 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 6 5 1 6 6 5 3 8 6 6 6 9 4 8 6 5 1 6 6 5 3 8 6 6 6 9 4 8 6 5 1 6 6 5 3 8 6 6 6 9 4 8 6 5 6 6 6 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1462148672354455468777788887932688558999999999999999999999999999999999	1 14239851886258325775771986655694343343231282417 1 1423985186258325775771986655694343343231282417 137	1 1109672278666153223033344227001770335081215499891 1109672278666153223033442270017703350812215499891	10 1446837 4990 5995 7790 1199 996 377 506 21 140 286 311 85 1185 1185 1185	
TOTAL	2770	728		13218	3758		3021			
50% Length cm	40.2				35					
Selection Range cm	12									
Selection Factor	2.9				2.9			f		

Species Ship Area Date Codend Mean mesh size (mm) Method No. of hauls Haul duration (mins) Av. total catch (kg) Length (cm)	Sk;	Cod nest Ho jalafan 25/7/19 la covo 132 Cover	ndi 962	N. Ji D	Cod nest Hol Lceland uly 1962 Manila 132 ired hau 4 60		"Expl Icel D. Ma 112	2.2 ver	<i></i> %
201.811		•		132 mm	50 mm	50 mm			
	2 2 46 2 28 40 24 28 47 20 88 2 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	1 15183554545129992483005023100704531223 1 2673	00744972910477816655556638888898997776888999999999999999999999	1377212346788225244531244540298927091365	430 616 143 1442 1793 1785 1785 1785 1785 1785 1785 1785 1785	8 190 42 296 442 8 72 86 68 70 841 962 913 71 79 918 51 48 31 72 918 121 72 9	33675638346241828747792106871545633 cm	3 46 14365 433511 48 555716 53 -2 -1 552	0 7 8 8 12 10 1 8 8 12 2 4 4 4 6 6 7 8 9 1 0 0 8 8 10 0 8 8 10 0 8 8 10 0 8 10 0 8 10 0 0 0
50% Length cm	38.5	201)		נטעד	7104	<u> </u>	472	552 37•6	
Sel. range cm Sel. factor	3.0							37.6 8 3.4	

SPECIES - Ship Area Date	Ccd "Explorer" Iceland				Icel	rer" and			C co Explo	rer" and	
Codend Mean mesh size (mm) Method No. of hauls Haul duration (mins)	D. Ma 126	.8			D. M 131 3				D. Ny 89. 5	rIon 1	
Av. total catch (kg)	G 73		0	6.7				~ 7	 		
Length (cm)	C.E.	Cov.	%	C.E.		Cov.	%	C.E	•	Cov.	%
20 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 41 42 43 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	2 4 2 120 6 7 7 7 6 3 9 8 3 15 14 27 14 22 330 36 0 14 7 7 7 8 2 33 3 3 1 3 1 1 20 1 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	111762561170678857511416922432921-31-1-	0 556 1 59 9 8 5 1 3 3 3 5 3 3 3 2 440 1 8 1 5 5 8 1 9 9 7 9 9 7 0 0 7 0 1 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	≥	2322142-1232-7-525264546693550757824 2322142-1232-7-525264546693550757824	11743045765435447741994342232212112-	0 4 2 3 3 9 2 0 5 9 3 3 8 3 6 5 7 6 7 7 8 9 8 8 3 1 9 0 1 8 6 7 8 9 8 8 9 1 9 0 1 8 6 7 8 9 8 8 9 1 9 0 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9	<u>></u> 60 cm	231453343-348077361414123713365750	131646148264-2314111-	0 33 3 8 50 8 60 3 50 0 0 50 3 67 9 88 8 8 10 0 10 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
· TOTAL	1154	566		. 2	+01	150			304	69	
50% Length en	40.1		42.9?				31.0?				
Sel. range cm	16		18				8?				
Sel. factor	3.2				3.3	?		3.5?			

Species Ship Area Date Codend Mean mesh size (mm) Method No. of hauls Haul duration (mins) Average total catch (kg)	"Gonel N. Icela 28.7. D. Mar 14 Cove	1962 nila 1 er 5 7)		962		"Gond N. Icel 29-30/ D. Capre char 100 Cove	Cod "Goncharov" N. Iceland (8) 29-30/7/1962 D. Capron with chafer 108 Cover 3 80 1364 C.E. Cov.		
Length (Cn)	C.E.	Cov.	%	C.E.	Cov.	%	C.E.	Cov.	%	
₹1 20 21 22 22 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 33 33 33 33 44 44 44 44 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 51 52 53 54 55 56 56 66 66 67 66 67 68 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67	Som 27 2 5 2 3 8 1 6 0 8 1 3 2 2 2 3 6 1 8 6 5 6 9 4 7 5 9 5 3 3 5 6 2 2 2 3 6 1 8 6 5 6 9 5 3 5 6 5 6 9 5 3 5 6 6 5 6 9 5 5 6 6 5 6 9 5 6 6 5 6 9 5 6 6 6 6	655245446855445512129274508624623312 65524544685544551212927450862462331222	4486662 23268361441888242690599999999999999999999999999999999999	Som 2 1 1 2 46 4 90 62 27 43 83 24 43 37 44 33 26 81 60 46 77 180 88 97 60 589	2 1 2 3	4 25 20 29 5 3 3 9 2 4 4 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 7 8 8 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Signature of the state of the s	509 34 29 32 50 35 55 43 31 56 1 1 1	4574537424330494476228899000000000000000000000000000000000	
TATAL	1988	1613		2419	509			1068	<u> </u>	
50% Length cm Sel. range cm Selection factor	49 12 3.			44 13 3			44 7 4.	.0		

THERE 3 (COILC d.)	BLE 5 (Cont'd.)										
Species Ship Area Date Codend Mean mesh size (mm) Method No. of hauls Haul duration (mins) Av. total catch (kg)	"Gond N. Icel 28-29/ D Capron 1	Cod charov" Land (8) /7/1962 w. chafe 126 over 5 85	N. Iceland N. NV Iceland N. 24-27/7/62 25/7&12-13/8/62 Jule D. Manila D. 117 138		Maria Julia" "Maria Julia" "Maria 3 N. Iceland N. W. Iceland N. Ice 24-27/7/62 25/7&12-13/8/62 July 1 D. Manila D. Manila D. Maria 3 Cover Cover Alternat 12 60 60		Cod "Maria Julia" N. Iceland July 1962 D. Manila 97 Alternate haul 10				
Length (cm)	C.E.	Cov. %	C.E.	Cov.	%	C.E.	Cov.	95	97 mm C.E.	61 mm C.E.	97 % mm x 1.4
≥ 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 39 41 42 43 44 45 44 49 51 51 52 53 55 55 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	₹30cm 141 42 7 9 139 178 19 178 187 4636666937768 47 718666693786 1 8666693786 1 96693786	672 43 20 35 44 45 56 66 78 78 82 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	2222445987521113579060777944377141	1 1121 571100573937811211587562511 1	50 17083779231574581817718618 88 170837792315745818181219416	1 2 2	698 1096 1304 1304 143 153 154 164 164 164 164 164 164 164 164 164 16	0000080246655034118442917554981078873291006 151126532341184455556686678843291006	1 1 1212 13557826071875568117700406237953	7 1 5302677836799131536525750774417248074297	24 64100 121
64 65 66 67 68 69 > 70	86 79 62 61 70 528	1 99 100 100 100 100	6 2 1			24 24 25 15 13 161	1	96	20 13 7 8 4 45	10 8 2 4 3 20	133 143 116 250 143 95 161
LATOT	2997	1538	287	184		1264	1230		573	503	
50% Length cm	41		59	9.0		i	.0				
Sel. range om	13		12			16					
Selection factor	3.	• 3		3.3] 3	4				

7					77.		17	1		
	Species Ship Area Date	TMA .	addock ON DCHRN NW Iceland 1/7 1962	A. T. Skja	ddock CAMERON Llafandi 25/7/62	E. Skjal	ldock HOLT afandi 5/7/62	EX	ddock PLORER eland	2
	Cod-end Mean mesh size(mm) Method		Manila 138	1	coveral 120 Over	Manila 1	coveral 32 over	1	Manila 12.2 over	
	No. of Hauls Haul duration (min)	\	Dover 16 83		over		DAGI.		7	
	Average total catch kg	520	150		ingen allen skirre parljeste – z remedie v reggestelere van		· nggyaphy. W at a physiology and physiology and a supply of the control of the physion and	CONTRACTOR ACCORDED TO CONTRACTOR THE		
	Length cm	CE	Cov %	OE 2	Cov % 99 2	CE C	o v %	CE 2	Cov 8 20	% 20 0
	21 22 23		3 O . O	1 1	8 11 3 25			2 11	68 183	3
	21 ₄ 25	1	5 0.0 37 3	3 12	7 30 35 26			29 34	328 409	8 8
	26 27 28	6 11 23	52 10 109 9 138 14	31 37 69	89 26 157 19 212 25	1	5 O 4 20	59 71 85	488 500 455	11 12 16
	2930	19 20	154 11 145 12	90 76	226 28 154 33	1 1 1 1 2	14 7 22 4	92 69	312 231	23 23
	31 32 33	8 13 12	103 7 87 13 58 17	53 32 20	101 34 62 34 28 42		19 14 14 7 7 0	. 72 38 36	150 98 48	32 28 43
-	34 3 5	6 3	40 13 25 11	8 4	21 28 10 29		9 0 4 0	8 16	10 14	44 53
	36 37 38	6 6 7	10 38 14 30 11 39	9 25 42	9 50 7 78 17 71	1	1 0 3 25 11 8	11 20 32	13 14 22	46 59 59
	. 39 40	14 18	21 40 43 30	65 112	18 78 20 85	3 9 3	16 16 36 20	59 56	19 15	76 79
	41 42 43	30 53 67	39 44 53 50 39 63	137 122 157	24 85 22 85 19 89	29 1	26 33 41 41 68 32	41 42 47	6 3 -	87 93 100
	44 45	57 52	49 54 36 59	125 96	18 87 10 91	39 <u>5</u> 38 <u>5</u>	52 43 58 40	29 19	2	94 100
	46 47 48	22 36 27	16 58 9 80 8 77	86 75 82	3 97 1 99 4 95	28 2	31 52 20 58 24 52	19 26 21	-	
	49	32 51	12 73 8 86	94 127	4 96 100	30 °	12 71 13 69	31		,
	50 51 52 53 54 55 56	54 62	8 87 9 87	154	2 99 1 99	45	10 79 11 80	32 29 27		
	55 54 55	71 93 93	11 87 9 91 8 92	190 219 212	100 - 2 99 1 100	47 1 72 126	10 82 9 89 4 97	29 43 37 59 46 61		
	56 57 58	96 1 2 9	2 96 4 97	181 142	1 99	111 127	7 94	59 46		
	58 59 60	90 90 60	4 96 - 100 1 98	124 94 69	11	93 68	4 97 5 96 2 98 2 97	» 41 60 266		
	61 62	51	1 98 100	1,2	¥7 .	62 48	1 98 1 98	cm .		
	63	53 32 24	100 100	31 30 16	11 11	28 26	100			
	64 65 66 67	14 21 11	100 100 100	16 8 10	11 11 11	15 12 11	1 92 100			
	68 69	4	100 100 100	8 6	th H	14				
	70	15	100	17	11	25				
	Total	1674	1381	3538	1395 ?- 36?		77 46	1747		
	50% Ret. length(cm) Selection range(cm) Selection factor		42.2 12 3.1	3.0			3 . 6		35.6 9 3.2	

Table 6 (contd)

Table 6 (contd)					
Species Ship Area Date Cod-end Mean mesh size(mm) Method No. of Hauls	Haddock EXPLORER Iceland D. Manila 126.8 Cover 8	Haddock EXPLORER Iceland D. Nylon 89.1 Cover	Haddock G. O. SARS S&SW Iceland 10 & 13/5/62 D. Manila 104 Cover	Haddock G. O. SARS SW Iceland 10-11/5/62 D. Manila 120 Cover 3	Haddock G. O. SARS SW Iceland 10-11/5/62 D. Manila 98 Cover 4
Haul duration (min) Average total catch kg			45 1818	41 1750	45 2455
Length cm < 20 21 22 23 24	CE Cov % 4 85 4 - 1 0 2 : 22 3 17 15 12 53 18	CE Cov % 2 0 1 2 33 1 9 10 4 24 14 7 39 15	3 0 5 0 4 0	CE Cov % 1 15 6 2 0	CE Cov % 2 14 12 1 0 1 0 1 0
24 25 26 27 28 29 31 32 33 34 56 37 38 39 41 42 44 45 47 49 59 51 52 53 54 55 56 66 66 66 66 67 68 69 70	24 108 18 20 18 16 20 18 16 20 18 16 20 18 16 20 18 16 20 18 16 20 18 16 20 18 16 20 18 16 20 18 16 20 18 16 20 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	9 96 9 28 150 16 29 175 14 43 156 22 52 163 24 36 103 26 38 48 44 39 24 62 18 13 58 12 6 67 9 - 100 21 2 91 19 - 100 21 2 91 19 24 15 12	3 0 1 6 14 1 7 12 2 10 17 13 0 1 10 9 18 4 7 36 7 4 64 13 8 62	0 0 0 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1 6 6 14 20 37 1 6 6 3 8 9 9 9 9 7 0 9 9 0 1 9 9 0 1 9 9 1 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
Total	1492 1767	537 1012	1083 184	1009 572	2392 110
50% Ret.length(cm) Selection range(cm) Selection factor	41.6 11 3.3	32.1 5 3.6	36.2 5 3.5	38.8 9 3.2	31 4? 3.2

Species	Hoddool:	U_222_1-	Hoddon's	Hoddeels
Species Ship Area Date Cod-end Mean mesh size (mm) Method No. of Hauls Haul duration(min)	Haddock G. O. SARS SW Iceland 12-13/5/62 D. Manila 122 Cover 2 45	Haddock G. O. SARS SW ICeland 12/5/62 D. Manila 103 Cover 3 25	Haddock G. O. SARS S & SW Iceland 12-13/5/62 D. Manila 99 Cover 2 45	Haddock GONCHAROV N. Iceland (8) 28/7/62 D. Manila 141 Cover 5 97
Average total catch		825	1100	1451
Length cm 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	CE Cov 5 15 345 4 3 11 21 6 0 11 0 4 0	CE Cov % 1 104 1 21 0 5 0 2 0	CE Cov % 1 367 0.3 49 0 17 0 8 0 7 0 1 0	CE Cov %
28 29 30	5 0 9 0 2 7 22	3 0 2 7 22 1 17 6		≤ 30 42 1260 4
31 32 33 34 35 37 39 41 42 44 45 44 45 47 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 66 67 68 69 70 Total	3 19 14 12 25 1 18 38 0 1 18 38 0 1 18 38 0 2 1 10 8 20 1 10 8 3 20 1 10 8 3 6 1 10 8 3 7 1 1 10 8 3 8 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 21 28 12 27 31 9 13 38 4 1 30 8 4 1 30 8 4 1 30 8 4 1 1 30 8 6 1 1 4 2 2 8 8 6 14 2 2 2 100 32 1 37 34 100 49 37 34 100 49 37 40 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49	8 27 23 10 15 162 10 15 100 1 10 1 100 1 10 1 100 1 10 1 100 1 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1 69 1 7 68 9 1 21 7 2 62 68 20 79 20 16 112 31 160 16 73 356 17 215 215 22 169 147 23 215 23 173 356 448 69 147 215 448 69 147 23 103 173 35 141 176 48 103 173 35 141 176 161 50 288 179 54 288 179 26 288 179 276 88 212 288 179 26 288 276 562 137 85 288 276 562 137 85 288 427 276 88 291 88 212 28 213 88 216 88 217 9 28 218 88 219 88 210 88 210 88 210 88 211 88 212 88 213 88 214 88 215 36 88 216 88 217 9 90 88 217 90 88 218 88 219 88 210
50% Ret. length(cm) Selection range(cm)	41.2 9?	34 6?	35•4 5?	50 13
Selection factor	3.4	3.3?	5? 3 . 6?	3.5

Species	H:	addock			Fire	ddock		7	ŭ-	ddock	
Ship	GO1	CHAROV			GON	CHARC	V		GON	CHARO\	
Area Date	N. Id	eland 7/7/62	(8)			eland 30/7/				Icelar 29/7/6	
Cod-end		Capron	.	D.		n wit	th chafer	D.			oz n chafer
Mean mesh size (mm) Method		125	.			108		1		126	
No. of Hauls	Ĺ	over 5			C	over			C	over	
Haul duration (min)		80				3 80		1		5 85	
Average total catch kg		144				364					
Length cm	CE	Ccv	%	C		Cov	%	-	<u>1</u> E	526 Cov	%
20					-	•	<i>(</i> -			001	/0
21 22											
23		÷	}			,					
24 25											
26											
27				,							
28 29	,		1	_	•						`
30	< 30 3	69	4	≪ 30	10	665	1	30	148	51+8	21
31	cm 1		4		2	26	7			18	0
32 33	1	4 12	8		1 6	11 6	8 50	1	1	16 6	6
34	•	13			3 12	4	43		,	5	14 0
35		4	50		12	12	50		3	7	30
35 36 37	1	1 4	50		5 21	15 19	25 52		3 3 3	5 20	38 13
38	4 6	8	33		32	30	52		6	16	27
39 40	5	3 10	67 33		53 72	19 57	74 56		10	19 29	34
41			40		51	26	66	<u>{</u>	14 26	30	33 46
42	4 5 7	6	46		52	28	65	1	37	32	54
43 44	7 4-		58 57		46 100	1·1 9	81 92	1	15 24	23 16	39 40
	16	10	62		129	11	92		37	21	64
46 1.7	11 20	10 5	52 80		81	11 8	88		41	14	75 67
47	14	ر 4	78		105 78	5	93 94		34 56	17 13	81
49	14 8	5 5	62		124		100		39.	6	87
50	52 23		91		207 108	3	99 100		119 101	10	81 87 92 96 96
52	23 56	3	95.		133		100		129	4 6	96
53	48 72	, 1	98		173	1	99		169	1	99
94 55	72 132	1 4	97		198 281	1 1	99 100		196 260	6 2	97 99
56	57	1	100		152	1	99		242	1	100
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	85	1	001		139	2	99		222	ı	100
50 59	42 24	1 1	98		96 59		100 100		140 130	1	99 99
	51	1	98		34		100		130		99
61 62	17 10		100	4.	18 23		100 100		28 34		99 99
63	4		100		25 14		100		19		99
64	4. 7	1	88		7		100	-	15		99
66	14,		100		8 4		100 100		20 10		99 99
61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68	14 5 2 5 3 3	1	100		4 9 2		100		5	,	99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99
68 69	5		100		2		100 100		3 1	*	99
70	3		100		2 6	and the second s	100	***************************************	9		99
Total	823	221			1676	982			2425	892	
50% Ret. Length(cm)		43.3 11				36.7				42.5 11	
Selection Range(cm) Selection Factor		3.5	- 1			9 3.4				11 3.4	

Species Ship Area Date Cod-end Mean mesh size (mm) Method No. of Hauls Haul duration (min) Average total catch	MAR SW 28/ D.	Haddock RIA JULIA Iceland /3-1/4/52 Manila 126 Cover 13 56		MAR N I 24- D.	MARIA JULIA MARIA JULIA N Iceland N & NW Icelan 24-27/7/62 25/7 & 12-13/8 D. Manila D. Manila 117 138 Cover Cover 6 12 60 60		eland N & NW Iceland 7/7/62 25/7 & 12-13/8/9 Manila D. Manila 117 138 over Cover 6 12		LIA Land 3/8/62	MARI S & S 6-4 D.	addock IA JULI Sin Ice 17/8/6 Manili 138 Cover 14 60	land 2
Length cm 20	CE	Cov	%	CE 1	Cov 2	% 33	CE	Cov	%	CE	Cov 1	90
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 33 33 35 36 37 38 39 41 42 44 45 47 49 50 51 52 53 55 55 55 57 57 58 59 60 61 62 66 66 67 68 69 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	11 12478 13567 1309 1312 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 10	1 6 7 0 2 7 7 9 7 3 6 7 1 2 9 6 6 9 4 1 4 0 2 2 3 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2	140003231909114549166677763999999999999999999999999999999	123598971602565093497700846535557686431111	1 71 49 102 102 102 103 103 104 104 105 105 106 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108	223 90 7 7 7 3 0 8 1 8 0 0 3 5 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	12200 43311 2218 11518 4206 335556 4766 23 28 100 6 5 1 4 14 14 14 15 14 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	21 7200668792508710486974842151120975466121 1	00 0853384494502599250854079274053855911746	236695325 3697221111134577298684693891563436302778 11111111111898663436302778	137877521148943012957 34588889768664422903041 21	111117307791365241314306334541172528948066 11111772528948066
Total	2216	1062		1290	865		861	1069		2073 2	2312	
50% Ret. Length(cm) Selection Range(cm) Selection Factor		42.4 11 3.4			39.0 9 3.3	- 	naminos Majagais, mai Maron patano seg	49.6 12 3.6			₊8.0 13 3.5	المستور والمستر

Species Ship Area Date Cod end Mean mesh size (mm) Method No. of hauls Haul duration (mins) Av. total catch (kg)	435	Redf: Anton I W. Ice: 14-17/ D. Mar 139 Cove 11 108	Dohrn" land 7/62 nila P			ish Dohrn" eland 7/62 nila er		
Length (cm)	C, E.	Cov.	%	Meshed	C.E.	Cov.	%	Meshed
\$\\ 20 20 21 22 32 32 32 32 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 34 44 44 45 66 70 8 9 9 10 12 33 45 66 66 66 66 66 67 8 9 9 10 12 33 45 56 66 66 66 67 8 9 9 10 <	11 11 12 12 13 14 15 12 14 15 16 16 17 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	4479421 147926 147926 147926 147926 141974 143321 141974 131920 1413	20 20 12 36 8 19 32 27 27 30 34 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45	1 12254601101158204992895-1-1	2 2 2 6 8 1 7 1 9 8 9 1 4 3 7 5 1 3 7 5 4 3 1 4 3 7 5 1 1 1 4 3 7 5 1 1 1 4 3 7 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 36 6 3 4 4 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 9 8 7 1 1 3 3 6 6 3 4 1 1 1 3 6 6 5 6 3 1 1 1 3 6 6 5 6 3 1 1 1 1 3 6 6 6 5 6 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	67700333095143365993558529435932000 1100	245555943903894253471-1
Total	3901	4320		216	2692	2936		388
50% ret. length (cm) Selection range (cm) Selection factor		39.6 16 2.9				46.9 15? 3.1		

	Species Ship Area Date Cod end Mean mesh size (mm) Method No. of hauls Haul duration (mins) Av. total catch (kg)	659	Redf: "Anton I W. Ice. 17-20/ D. Per 132 Cove 17 100 493	Oohrn" Land 7/62 clon 2		Redfish "Anton Dohrn" S.W. Iceland 21-23/7/62 D. Perlon 142 Cover 7 137 558 481				
	Length (cm)	C.E.	Cov.	%	Meshed	C.E.	Cov.	%	Meshed	
	20 12 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 34 44 44 44 44 44	37 140 123 140 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 15	60 11 14 21 49 71 147 268 599 1048 1288 1319 1929 1428 1334 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 14	38 8 22 32 31 52 32 32 33 33 33 33 33 44 55 56 66 78 89 99 99 99 90 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2 2 9 5 7 3 2 4 5 4 5 6 7 6 9 1 8 7 7 9 3 1 3 1 3	4 2 2 4 1 6 2 2 8 7 8 2 2 1 1 2 3 5 9 7 8 2 2 1 1 1 2 3 5 9 7 8 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 6 4 14 21 398 1100 214 255 211 1792 746 340 9 7 2	80532406 3333406 42302 4800000000000000000000000000000000000	1 2 2 2 3 7 1 0 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 8 3 2 1 1 7 - 1	
Ì	Total	11062	13254		803	1912	1798		232	
	50% ret. length (cm) Selection range (cm) Selection factor		38.5 16 2.9				43.9 15? 3.1			

Species Ship Area Date Cod end Mean mesh size (mm) Method	Redfish "Explorer" Iceland D. Manila 112.2 Cover				Redfi "Explo Icela D. Man 126.	rer" nd ila 8	'' ']	Redfish Explore Iceland . Manil 131.6	r"
No. of hauls Haul duration (mins) Av. total catch (kg)		Cover 6			Cove 8	r		Cover 3	
Length (cm)	C.E.	Cov.	%	C.E.	Cov.	%	C.E.	Cov.	%
20 21 22 23 24 26 27 29 31 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 41 42 43 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	22248688074734-15	10334476365311-	17 40 50 67 46 73 28 57 80 10 50 50 10 50	31 17 40 58 11 176 23 23 26 16 43 16 43 17 6 43 17 17 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	114 379 103 144 1780 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 1	21 34 33 36 49 55 56 68 76 77 88 90 86 75 10	3 - 9 7 5 1 2 2 3 3 3 6 0 6 5 1 0 9 8 2 2 1 1 2 8 4 2 6 3 1 4 1 2	10 67 42 17 76 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	0 30 0 29 36 27 30 32 32 33 49 55 51 57 70 70 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Total	82	56		2484	2113		575	928	
50% ret. length (cm)	\$	24.8?			27.5			36.9	h
Selection range (cm)		7?			16			15?	
Selection factor		2.2?			2.2		}	2.8	

	Species Ship Area Date Cod end Mean mesh size (mm) Method No. of hauls Haul duration (mins) Av. total catch (kg)	"Exp Ice D. {	lfish blorer' eland Nylon 39.1 over 3	rer" "Goncharov" nd N. Iceland 5/8/62 lon D. Capron 1 118				nd	Redfish "Johan Hjort" S.W. Iceland 13/9/62 D. Manila 108 Cover 2 75 595			
	Length (cm)	C.E.	Cov.	%	C.E.	Cov.	%	Meshed	C.E.	Cov.	%	Meshed
	20 12 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	233-9531425095281762133221-01-0 	3158231071341-	40 75 30 46 61 91 98 99 100	2441243077641033576418233110657 121111 22 240776103576410657 121111 22	31364787732562684421412 2	764468279450078 10000000000000000000000000000000000	6 46 37 68 20 21 46 68 151 151 12	41 1 12 1211251533 3852532 1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	0.51030260950475007000000000000000000000000000000	
	Total	1346	119		641	822		392	72	1163		
	50% ret. length (cm)		24.1?			34.2				35?		
١,	Selection range (cm)		6?			8				5?		
1	Selection factor		2.7?			2.9				3.2?		

Table 8. Cod. Mean girth at length

Ship Locality Date	MARIE J N. & NW. I July			T. CAMERO July	N	EXPLO N. Ice Jur	eland
Length cn	Max. Body Girth cm	No. of Obs.	Fread Girth cm	Max. Body Girth cm	No. of Obs.	Head Girth ch	No. of Obs.
150123456789012345678901234567890 1501232222233333333333444444444555555555555	10.0 - 0 - 0 - 14.0 - 14.0	1 21413241425345626278903658023938224	14.4.5.8.0.4.7.8.2.1.2.3.4.9.6.0.0.1.6.1.0.8.8.0.1.3.9.7.7.18.2.1.2.3.4.9.6.0.1.3.9.7.7.7.18.2.0.0.1.6.1.0.8.8.0.1.3.9.7.7.7.2.0.0.1.6.1.0.8.8.0.1.3.9.7.7.7.8.2.1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	13.8 14.0 15.6 16.2 16.6 17.0 18.0 19.4 20.5 21.8 22.2 23.3 24.0 25.3 24.2 25.3 26.4 27.2 28.1 28.9	133756220822955655934903938210	10.4 10.4 11.6 11.8 12.8 12.8 12.9 13.6 14.2 15.3 17.0 16.8 19.2 19.2 19.2 22.0 - 23.8	13565624223 111322 2 11 2
Regression Girth (G) on Length (L)	n				man - maner sentale prepateur s	HG* = 0.529I	2.4 cm

Regression from curve fitted by eye, except* which is a calculated fit

Table 9. Haddock. Mean girth at length

Ship Locality Date	EXPLO N. Ice Jun	land		A. T. CA N. Icel July	land		MARIE JU N. & NW. I July	,
Length cm	Head Girth cm	No. of Cbs.	Mean Head Girth (cm)	No. of Obs.	Mean Body Girth . (cm)	No. of . Obs.	Max. body Girth on	No. of Obs.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 31 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 34 44 44 44 44	10.0 11.1 11.9 12.8 13.4 13.6 14.6 15.0 16.4 17.3 18.4 19.4 17.3 19.4 19.3 20.7 21.3 21.6 22.6	13 3213223 22221 33521122 11	13 4.8.5 1 75.56 8 47 1 8 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3	22-888100532757222966385484369673334	13.6 5 8 1 8 6 9 9 0 0 0 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	11-378008432557222966385474337572334	13.0 - 3.0 0 17.0 18.5 21.0 21.5 22.3 23.2 24.7 25.1 5.5 7.3 6.8 1.1 4.7 9.6 7.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2	1 32252 311 8729334 16219358217045048
61 62 63 64 65 66 67 70 74			32.7 31.3 31.8 31.5 - 29.9 35.2	1 3 1 - - 1 1	- 32.3 32.5 33.3 - 31.0 37.8	3 1 1	33.7 33.8 34.0 32.0 37.5 36.0 36.5	10 6 6 2 2 3 2 1
Regression Girth (G) on Length (L)	G*= 0.475L-	- 0.01 cm	G* = (G* 0.495L +		3L + 0.4 cm	G = 0.	552L

Regression from curve fitted by eye, except * which are calculated fits

Table 10. Redfish. Mean girth at length

Ship Locality Date <u>Sebastes</u> type		T. CAMERO . Iceland July ed, probab		W. Ice Jul marin	land Y	ON DOHRN S. W. Iceland July mentella		
Length cm	Head Girth cm	Body Girth cm	No. of Obs.	Body Girth cm	No. of Obs.	Body Girth cm	No. of Obs.	
19 23 24 25 27 28 29 31 32 33 33 33 33 34 44 44 44 45 47 49 51 52 53	13.6 15.6 17.3 17.9 18.4 19.1 20.8 21.8 22.8 23.7 24.2 26.9 27.3 29.6 29.5 29.5	13.5 15.3 17.4 18.6 19.3 20.0 22.0 22.0 23.0 24.7 25.4 27.1 27.3 30.9 30.2	1 1 26 9 16 17 28 13 14 80 5 41 11 11 2	18.9 19.9 20.3 21.0 23.1 23.1 24.8 25.6 27.3 28.9 29.3 31.1 32.5 31.3 31.3 31.3 31.3 31.3 31.3 31.3 31	340064889338944895442327	29.5 30.4 31.2 31.7 32.8 32.9	37 70 60 83 63 36	
Regression Girth (G) on Length (L)	HG* = 0.73L -	0.5 cm BG	= 0.75L - 0.8d	em BG* = 0.74	2L - 1.7	cm BG*= 0.	711L+0.5cm	

Regression from curve fitted by eye, except* which are calculated fits