



A Model study of the holopelagic scyphozoan jellyfish *Periphylla periphylla* and its trophic impact on plankton production in the Trondheim fjord (Norway).

Lionel Eisenhauer^{1,*}, Øyvind Knutsen¹, Jarle Mork² and Dag Slagstad¹

*SINTEF Fisheries and Aquaculture; lionel.eisenhauer@sintef.no



Introduction

- Proliferates in several Norwegian fjords since the 1990's
- Dense populations in the South (Sørnes et al. 2007; Sweetman and Chapman 2011)
- Has reached northern Norway in the recent years (Lofoten area).
- 66°N
- The coastal current is probably the ۲ major vector for its propagation northwards
- Those fjords feature sills that may play a role in retaining the biomass and as a reservoir along the coastal path







From Volent et al. (2011)

Norwegian Coastline

SINMOD Pelagic Ecosystem Model

Fjord Circulation Model

Ellingsen et al. 2004 Uglem et al. 2012

- Nested model system
- Horizontal grid resolution: 160 m
- Vertical layering: z-levels (35)
- Open Boundary condition at the fjord mouth: 800 m coastal model domain for mid-Norway
- River discharge from major drainage basins: Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Directorate Database (<u>www.nve.no</u>)

 Wind fields: downscaled era-interim ECMWF fields (<u>www.ecmwf.int</u>)

Model of lower trophic levels

(This study; adapted from Wassmann et al. 2006)



P. Periphylla biology

- *P. periphylla* (Péron & Lesueur, 1809): holopelagic, mesopelagic species
- Long-lived (Youngbluth and Båmstedt 2001).
- The life-cycle: 14 stages described (Jarms et al. 1999, 2002) No ephyra stages, direct development into medusae

• Light is lethal: Photodegradation of pigmentation (Jarms et al. 2002) - strong negative phototactism

Below the thermocline in the Lure fjord (6-8°C)

• **Optically conditionned retention** in Norwegian fjords (Sørnes et al. 2007)

- Diet based mainly on calanoid copepods in Norwegian fjords (Sørnes et al. 2008)
- Limited studies on trophodynamics



Drawing of *P. periphylla* produced in 1902 by Edward Adrian Wilson (1872 -1912). (www.nhm.ac.uk)

P. periphylla Box-Model setup

Model parameterization

- Carbon content for the mature stage 14D (Sweetmann and Chapmann, 2011)
- Estimates for clearance rates have been formulated by Sørnes et al. (2008) The maximum weight specific **ingestion** rate has been fixed starting from values for *A. aurita* (Uye & Shimauchi, 2005), but have been adjusted to a much lower value of 0.02 d⁻¹
- Data on respiration rates (Youngbluth and Båmstedt, 2001; Sötje et al. 2007) The weight specific respiration rate has been set to 0.004 d⁻¹



Model assumptions

- *P. periphylla* is considered as a top-predator in the Trondheim fjord, i.e. no predation
- Biological processes related to *P. periphylla* are not temperature dependent Though, seasonal thermal variability below the thermocline is low
- Thus, a very low mortality rate has been assumed (0.001 d⁻¹)

P. periphylla Box-Model setup

Vertical behavior

- Seasonal vertical patterns in Lurefjorden (Youngbluth and Båmstedt, 2001)
- Light dependent vertical migration models (Dupont et al., 2009 and Dupont and Aksnes 2010)
- Distribution below 50-70m in the Trondheim fjord:

Modeled occupied vertical range in the water column

- Model *P. periphylla* is below the thermocline during the spring bloom and remains below 50m
- *P. periphylla* preys upon overwintering *C. finmarchicus* at depth.
- Reduced vertical overlap between *C. finmarchicus* (0-100m) and *P. periphylla* during the spring period

Number and size (Coronal diameter) at each 10 m depth interval From LVPP profiles (Mork and Båmstedt, unpublished)

Dive	Date	Time start	Time stop	Start coordinates		Max depth (m)
[<i>a1</i>]	20.04.2010	09:55:00	10:36:00	63°48.829' N	10°37.542' Ø	52
[<i>a2</i>]	20.04.2010	14:42:00	15:20:00	63°55.640' N	11°04.162' Ø	61

b) Station 1, [a2], n=28

30 50-

70

90

110

130

150

170 190 210



≤ 20mm

21-40mm

#41-60mm

■61-80mm ■81-100mm

101-120mm

■>121mm





Picture: Solheim (2012)

Primary Production





Mesotrophic conditions (Cloern et al. 2014, Nixon's classification, 1995)

Production of *C. finmarchicus*



Vertically integrated net production (g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) >: River outflow

C. finmarchicus production in different sub-basins



Simulated (I) *P. periphylla* biomass distribution (g C m⁻²)



Simulated (I) P. periphylla vertical biomass distribution

Biomass concentration (g C m⁻³)



Simulated (I vs. II) *P. periphylla* biomass distribution (g C m⁻²)



Prey-Predator stocks in different sub-basins (t C)





Conclusions:

- Model results suggest that the production is mainly sustained from late summer throughout the autumn and early winter
- Carrying capacity in the inner most part of the fjord might be reached
- Loss of biomass at the end of the winter and the productive spring season is due to the limited access to the ressource above the thermocline.

Perspectives:

- Light dependent mechanisms might modulate that response and the strength of the trophic link
- Detailed analysis of spatio-temporal dynamics associated with tidal forcing (waves and eddies)
- Impacts on outward migrating gadoid larvæ

Thank you for your attention!

Conclusion

- Developpement saisonnier
- Relation avec la ressource
- Export d'une fraction de la biomasse
- Scenario

Timeline of Biomass





C. finmarchicus and P. periphylla seasonal production patterns



Primary production in different sub-basins



The Trondheim fjord





(add skarnsundet!)





Vertically integrated biomass flux (tons of carbon km⁻¹ yr⁻¹)

(x dimension)

Local time series (2002)



Biomass distributions: bathymetry and



Biomass distributions: hydrographical parameters

