UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN

University Museum of Bergen, Department of Natural History

Including gelatinous zooplankton in plankton surveys

challenges, suggestions and potential gain

Aino Hosia University Museum of Bergen

Priscilla Licandro Sir Alister Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science

> Sanna Majaneva Linnaeus University

Tone Falkenhaug Institute of Marine Research

Image problem = sparse data



«Difficult to sample... Impossible to identify... Clog nets and are a nuisance...»

Why monitor jellies?

Why monitor jellies?

Brotz et al. 2012, Hydrobiology

 "62 % of LMEs show increasing trends"

Hydrobiologia (2012) 690:3–20 DOI 10.1007/s10750-012-1039-7

JELLYFISH BLOOMS

Increasing jellyfish populations: trends in Large Marine Ecosystems

Lucas Brotz · William W. L. Cheung · Kristin Kleisner · Evgeny Pakhomov · Daniel Pauly Condon et al. 2012, BioScience

 "Current paradigm of global increase in gelatinous zooplankton is unsubstantiated"

Questioning the Rise of Gelatinous Zooplankton in the World's Oceans

Published online: 3 April 2012 © The Author(s) 2012. This article is published with open access

Abstract Although there are various indications and claims that jellyfish (i.e., scyphozoans, cubozoans, most hydrozoans, ctenophores, and salps) have been increasing at a global scale in recent decades, a rigorous demonstration of this has never been presented. Because this is mainly due to scarcity of quantitative time series of jellyfish abundance from scientific surveys, we attempt to complement such data with non-conventional information from other gourges. This uses accomplicated using the methods

Not enough data!

Articles

erage. Data were aggregated and analyzed at the scale of Large Marine Ecosystem (LME). Of the 66 LMEs defined thus far that cover the world's coastal waters and seas, trends of jellyfish abundance after 1950 (increasing, decreasing, or stable/variable) were identified for 45, with variable degrees of confidence. Of those 45 LMEs, the majority (28 or 62%) showed increasing trends. These observes are discussed in the KYLIE A. PITT, CATHY H. LUCAS, ON, MICHAEL N DAWSON, MARY BETH FJ. HERMES MIANZAN, SHIN-ICHI UYE,

e been reported in many estuarine and coastal ecosystems. global ocean ecosystems are thought to be heading toward nting a broad overview of gelatinous zooplankton in a hisnediated alteration of global ocean ecosystems. To this end,

For an context or geodesize the hypothesis that population changes reject the humar-mediated alteration of good occan ecosystems. To this end, we synthesize information related to the evolutionary context of contemporary gelatinous zooplankton blooms, the human frame of reference for changes in gelatinous zooplankton populations, and whether sufficient data are available to have established the paradigm. We conclude that the current paradigm in which it is believed that there has been a global increase in gelatinous zooplankton is unsubstantiated, and we develop a strategy for addressing the critical questions about long-term, human-related changes in the sea as they relate to gelatinous zooplankton blooms.

Keywords: bloom, media, jellyfish, salp, global synthesis

The enigmatic gelatinous zooplankton are widely heralded by "nuisance" jellyfish. We present a broad overview of

Why monitor jellies?

- Changes in
 - abundance
 - distribution
 - species composition
 - early detection of NIS
- Understanding blooms



Monitoring – how?

- Spatial and temporal coverage
- Cost effective
- Realistic

Monitoring – how?

- Spatial and temporal coverage
- Cost effective
- Realistic

→Better utilization of existing sampling effort!

- Trawling surveys
- Plankton monitoring (nets)

Progress in Oceanography 77 (2008) 103-111



Rise and fall of jellyfish in the eastern Bering Sea in relation to climate regime shifts

Richard D. Brodeur^{a,*}, Mary Beth Decker^b, Lorenzo Ciannelli^c, Jennifer E. Purcell^d, Nicholas A. Bond^e, Phyllis J. Stabeno^f, Erika Acuna^g, George L. Hunt Jr.^h







Fig. 4. Distribution of jellyfish biomass based on trawl surveys in the Bering Sea averaged over four periods (A) 1982–1989, (B) 1990–1999, (C) 2000, and (D) 2001–2004 identified in this paper as being oceanographically unique.



Biomass of Scyphozoan Jellyfish, and Its Spatial Association with 0-Group Fish in the Barents Sea

Elena Eriksen¹*, Dmitry Prozorkevich², Aleksandr Trofimov², Daniel Howell¹

1 Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway, 2 Polar Research Institute of Marine Fisheries and Oceanography, Murmansk, Russia



Figure 5. Variation of jellyfish biomass indices in the Barents Sea (109 kg, black line) and the spatial distribution of jellyfish biomass (colored bars).

Figure 4. Spatial distribution of jellyfish biomass (wet weight g/m2) during years with different temperature regimes in the Barents Sea (see Figure 3).





Diversity

Scyphozoa 200-400 spp.



Hydrozoa >3500 Spp. (not all pelagic)

Ctenophora 150-200 spp.



Mar-Eco 2004



Various nets/trawls NOT targeting jellies

- Multinet midi
- Macroplankton trawl
- Ring net on bottom trawl

Optical methods

- UVP
- ROV

Mar-Eco 2004



Total 109 spp/taxa of jellies (cnidarians) collected with nets/trawls.

Distributions clearly related to hydrography.

High selectivity!

Euro-Basin 2013



High gear selectivity!

- Smaller plankton nets:
 - Small, common species (small hydromedusae & diphyid siphonophores)
 - Highest densities (ind. m⁻³)
- Macroplankton & Harstad trawls:
 - Higher diversity
 - Rare larger species (eg. Prayid siphonophores)
- MOCNESS:
 - Good compromise?

Suggested modifications

- Routine protocol
 - Identifying,
 enumerating &
 weighing large jellyfish
 - Preserving small jellies
- Training personnel

Suggested modifications

- Routine protocol
 - Identifying,
 enumerating &
 weighing large jellyfish
 - Preserving small jellies
- Training personnel
- Gentle processing...



When jellyfish travel at unsafe speeds

Ctenophores = Misery





Podar et al. 2001

Percentage contribution of ctenophores in Mar-Eco samples

Multinet <1% Macroplankton trawl ~2%





Diversity of ctenophores

Net & trawl:

• only beroid ctenophores

UVP & ROV:

- 67-95 % lobates
 - Bathocyroe
 - Bolinopsis
- Rest primarily unidentified
 mesopelagic cydippids

ctenophores siphonophores medusae

Euro-Basin VPR



- MESSOR platform with digitally-autonomous video plankton recorder etc.
- 9 transects, tow-yo between 0-400 m depth
- 338 jellies identified from VPR images

individuals observed



Ctenophore best practice?

Ctenophore best practice?

Live sorting...



Future perspectives

- Metabarcoding!
 - No need for morphological ID
 - Currently poor for estimating abundances
 - Need for a reference database
 - NTI: Pelagic Hydrozoa (PI Hosia) & ctenophores (PI Majaneva)



Take home:

Loads of data on cnidarian abundances and distribution to be gained from existing monitoring with minor adjustments to sample processing and investment in taxonomic skills.

Consistency is key: Establishment of routine protocols and training of personnel.

Jellies are a diverse group - gear highly selective!

Ctenophores = misery...

S3 poster session Tuesday

on Euro-Basin jellies:



S4 poster session Wednesday on barcoding pelagic Hydrozoa:



Session 4, Friday at 14:40

Sanna Majaneva

Morphological and molecular evidence reveal underestimated ctenophore species richness – peeking into the group of unidentified species