12.4.3.1 ICES fisheries management reference points for category 1 and 2 stocks

Summary

This document offers a description of the reference points used by ICES, including the definition of the reference values, their general basis and suggested approaches for their calculation.

ICES refers to two types of reference points when providing fisheries advice for category 1 stocks: precautionary approach (PA) reference points and maximum sustainable yield (MSY) reference points. The PA reference points are used when assessing the state of stocks and their exploitation relative to the precautionary approach objectives. The MSY reference points used in the advice rule applied by ICES are aimed at producing advice consistent with the objective of achieving MSY. Table 12.4.3.1.1 lists the purpose and basis of each reference point. All biomass reference points are in units of spawning-stock biomass (SSB), unless otherwise indicated.

The PA reference points and the methods for estimating values were discussed in a series of precautionary approach and reference point workshops between 2001 and 2003. This process led to the report in 2003 of the Study Group on Precautionary Reference Points for Advice on Fishery Management (ICES, 2003). Annex 1 of that report provided guidance for reference point estimation. Most of the process and guidance described in this document is still relevant and forms, with minor modifications, the present basis for ICES precautionary approach.

The guidance for estimation of MSY reference points was developed based on the findings of three workshops: WKMSYREF2 (ICES, 2014), WKMSYREF3 (ICES, 2015), WKMSYREF4 (ICES, 2016) and in Rindorf et al. (2016).

Table 12.4.3.1.1 The definition and basis of precautionary approach (PA) and MSY reference points used by ICES to assess the state of stocks and exploitation, and to give advice on fishing opportunities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PA reference point</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B_{lim}$</td>
<td>A deterministic biomass limit below which a stock is considered to have reduced reproductive capacity.</td>
<td>The biomass below which recruitment reduces with spawning-stock biomass (SSB), e.g. the change point of a segmented regression. See further guidance below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{lim}$</td>
<td>Exploitation rate which leads SSB to $B_{lim}$.</td>
<td>The fishing mortality rate ($F$) that in stochastic equilibrium will result in median(SSB) = $B_{lim}$ (i.e. 50% probability of SSB being above or below $B_{lim}$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{pa}$</td>
<td>A stock status reference point above which the stock is considered to have full reproductive capacity, having accounted for estimation uncertainty.</td>
<td>The value of the estimated SSB, which ensures that the true SSB has less than 5% probability of being below $B_{lim}$, i.e. the 95th percentile of the distribution of the estimated SSB if the true SSB equals $B_{lim}$. $B_{pa} = B_{lim} \times \exp(1.645 \times \sigma)$ where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of ln(SSB) at the start of the year following the terminal year of the assessment. If $\sigma$ is unknown 1.4 can be used as default for “$\exp(1.645 \times \sigma)$”, equivalent to $\sigma = 0.20$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{pa}$</td>
<td>An exploitation rate reference point below which exploitation is considered to be sustainable, having accounted for estimation uncertainty.</td>
<td>The value of the estimated $F$, which ensures that the true $F$ has less than 5% probability of being above $F_{lim}$, i.e. the 5th percentile of the distribution of the estimated $F$ if the true $F$ is equal to $F_{lim}$. $F_{pa} = F_{lim} \times \exp(-1.645 \times \sigma)$ where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of ln($F$) in the terminal year of the assessment. If $\sigma$ is unknown 1.4 can be used as default for “$\exp(-1.645 \times \sigma)$”, equivalent to $\sigma = 0.20$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{escapement}$</td>
<td>For short-lived species, a deterministic biomass limit below which a stock is considered to have reduced reproductive capacity, including any identified additional biomass need.</td>
<td>$B_{lim}$ plus an additional biomass if the advice is based on a deterministic forecast.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{cap}$</td>
<td>A limit to $F$, which is used when providing catch advice without directly estimating the probability of SSB &gt; $B_{escapement}$.</td>
<td>Based on stochastic simulation that shows a less than 5% probability of SSB &lt; $B_{escapement}$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 12.4.3.1.2 The purpose and basis of maximum sustainable yield (MSY) reference points used by ICES to assess the state of stocks and exploitation, and to give advice on fishing opportunities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSY reference point</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\text{MSY}}$</td>
<td>The $F$ expected to give maximum sustainable yield in the long term.</td>
<td>$F$ that provides maximum yield given the current assessment/advice error and biology and fishery parameters, constrained so that the long-term probability of $\text{SSB} &lt; B_{\lim}$ is $\leq 5%$ when applying the ICES MSY advice rule (AR): $F = F_{\text{MSY}}$ (if $\text{SSB} \geq \text{MSY } B_{\text{trigger}}$) $F = F_{\text{MSY}} \times \text{SSB}/\text{MSY } B_{\text{trigger}}$ (if $\text{SSB} &lt; \text{MSY } B_{\text{trigger}}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{\text{trigger}}$ on $F_{\text{MSY}}$</td>
<td>A lower bound to the SSB when the stock is fished at $F_{\text{MSY}}$. The point at which $F$ is reduced when applying the ICES MSY advice rule (AR).</td>
<td>$B_{\text{trigger}}$ = maximum($B_{\text{lim}}$, the 5th percentile of the distribution of SSB when fishing at $F_{\text{MSY}}$), modified according to the scheme for determining MSY $B_{\text{trigger}}$ (described in section on MSY reference points).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PA reference points – Guidelines for estimation of PA reference points for category 1 stocks

$B_{\lim}$ is the key PA reference point. The other precautionary approach points ($B_{\text{pa}}, F_{\text{lim}}$, and $F_{\text{pa}}$) are all estimated from $B_{\lim}$. In a few cases the available information does not allow direct estimation of $B_{\lim}$. $B_{\text{pa}}$ is then estimated directly and $B_{\lim}$ may be derived from $B_{\text{pa}}$.

For most stocks, all the PA reference points can be established and used directly. However, for short-lived species there are some extra considerations and differences as explained under step 4 below. In this context, short-lived species are species characterized by high natural mortality and for which year classes contribute significantly to the fishery for only one or a maximum of two years.

The framework for PA reference point estimation for category 1 stocks includes the following steps:

1. Identifying appropriate data.
2. Identifying stock type.

Step 1. Identifying appropriate data

The estimation of reference points is based on assessment data and stock–recruitment ($S$–$R$) plots using the most recent data (i.e. $S$–$R$ outputs from the most recent assessment). The full time-series of stock and recruitment should be used, unless very strong evidence exists to do otherwise. Any $S$–$R$ pairs which are considered poorly estimated should not be included in the estimation. If quantitative criteria are not available to evaluate the estimation of a specific $S$–$R$ pair, the evaluation can be based on expert judgment.

Steps 2. (Stock type identification) and 3. (Estimation of biomass limit reference point)

ICES stocks with analytical assessments and a time-series of paired $S$–$R$ values can be grouped by type. A summary of stock types and the appropriate reference point estimation methods is given in Table 12.4.3.1.3.
### Table 12.4.3.1.3  Summary of stock types and reference point estimation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock type</th>
<th>S–R plot characteristics</th>
<th>Sample S–R plot</th>
<th>Limit point estimation options dependent on data and specific stock information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>Spasmodic stocks – stocks with occasional large year classes.</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Sample S–R plot" /></td>
<td>$B_{\text{lim}}$ is based on the lowest SSB where large recruitment is observed – unless $F$ has been low throughout the observed history, in which case $B_{\text{loss}} = B_{\text{pa}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>Stocks with a wide dynamic range of SSB, and evidence that recruitment is or has been impaired.</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Sample S–R plot" /></td>
<td>$B_{\text{lim}} = $ Segmented regression change point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>Stocks with a wide dynamic range of SSB, and evidence that recruitment is or has been impaired, with no clear asymptote in recruitment at high SSB.</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Sample S–R plot" /></td>
<td>$B_{\text{lim}}$ may be close to the highest SSB observed. The estimate depends on an evaluation of the historical fishing mortality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>Stocks with a wide dynamic range of SSB, and evidence that recruitment increases as SSB decreases.</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Sample S–R plot" /></td>
<td>No $B_{\text{lim}}$ from this data, only the PA reference point. ($B_{\text{loss}}$ would be a candidate for $B_{\text{pa}}$.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 5</td>
<td>Stocks showing no evidence of impaired recruitment or with no clear relation between stock and recruitment (no apparent S–R signal).</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Sample S–R plot" /></td>
<td>$B_{\text{lim}} = B_{\text{loss}}$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 6</td>
<td>Stocks with a narrow dynamic range of SSB and showing no evidence of past or present impaired recruitment.</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Sample S–R plot" /></td>
<td>No $B_{\text{lim}}$ from this data, only the PA reference point. ($B_{\text{loss}}$ could be a candidate for $B_{\text{pa}}$, but this is dependent on considerations involving historical fishing mortality.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Type 1: Stocks with occasional very strong year classes (spasmodic stocks)

The stocks in this group have unique biological characteristics which justify a specific approach. They exhibit some points well above the cloud of points in a stock–recruitment scatter plot. However, the time-series are usually too short to establish the frequency of such rare events with any accuracy. Examples of such stocks are: most haddock stocks, Norwegian spring-spawning herring, and Western horse mackerel. Establishing biomass reference points for such stocks is often difficult because the population dynamic depends on the occurrence of strong year classes. Two sub cases are considered:

A) Stocks for which an extensive range of SSB has been estimated, where the lowest SSB that has previously given high recruitment can be taken as $B_{\text{lim}}$ (e.g. haddock in the North Sea, West of Scotland, and Skagerrak). Here, the analysis should focus on establishing the minimum SSB above which strong year classes have been observed; this SSB would constitute a possible $B_{\text{lim}}$ candidate. Using this $B_{\text{lim}}$ to determine the corresponding $B_{\text{pa}}$, $F_{\text{lim}}$, and $F_{\text{pa}}$, these reference points should be based on S–R pairs from periods where the very strong year class had little or no influence on the SSB, i.e. use the period before the year that produced the strong year class and the period after which the strong year class contributed only little to the SSB.

B) Stocks where $F$ has been low and the full range of SSB may not have been explored (e.g. Western horse mackerel). Approaches for such cases are outlined under type 6.

Figure 12.4.3.1.1 Stock type 1, showing an example with an extensive range of estimated SSB. A candidate $B_{\text{lim}}$ here would be around 100 kt – the SSB that gave rise to the large 1979 year class.
Type 2: Stocks with a wide dynamic range of SSB and evidence that recruitment is or has been impaired

For stocks with a distinct change point in the S–R relationship, the scatterplot can be divided into a slope and a plateau region. A change point in the S–R relationship can be estimated and the SSB that corresponds to the estimated point is defined as $B_{lim}$. For these stocks the change point should be estimated based on a segmented regression. If the estimation procedure is found to perform well, a $B_{lim}$ value can be established on this basis. If the performance of the segmented regression analysis is found to be unsatisfactory, or if there are specific reasons for a modified approach, alternative approaches for estimating $B_{lim}$ should be investigated.

Figure 12.4.3.1.2 Stock type 2, showing an example with a well-defined change point.
Type 3: Stocks with a wide dynamic range of SSB and evidence that recruitment is or has been impaired, with no clear asymptote in recruitment at high SSB

These are stocks for which there is no distinct plateau in the S–R relationship but for which recruitment seems to be reduced, with reduced SSB for the range of historical observations. In such cases it may be suspected that fishing mortality has been high before the historical time-series started and that all historical data are within the range of impaired recruitment. Bmax may be at higher SSB values than observed. This decision should be based on evaluations of other data, especially the historical data on fishing mortality.

Figure 12.4.3.1.3 Stock type 3, showing an example with no distinct plateau in recruitment.
Type 4: Stocks with a wide dynamic range of SSB and evidence that recruitment increases as SSB decreases

Stocks where \( R \) increases as SSB decreases. For this inverse \( S-R \) relationship it is not possible to estimate limit reference points. \( B_{\text{loss}} \) may be used as a candidate value for \( B_{\text{pa}} \).

**Figure 12.4.3.1.4** Stock type 4, showing an example with recruitment increasing as SSB decreases.
Type 5: Stocks with no evidence that recruitment has been impaired or with no clear relation between stock and recruitment (no apparent S–R relationship)

Stocks with a clear plateau in the S–R relationship, where a wide range of F and SSB has been observed, but there is no evidence that recruitment has been impaired. For these stocks $B_{loss}$ is identified as a candidate value of $B_{lim}$, below which the dynamics of the stock are unknown.

Figure 12.4.3.1.5 Stock type 5, showing an example with no evidence that recruitment has been impaired.
Type 6: Stocks with a narrow dynamic range of SSB, and no evidence that recruitment is or has been impaired

Some stocks have little dynamic range in SSB, which makes it difficult to determine the S–R relationship and hence the biomass reference points. This is because, in practice, there is only one SSB “point” to determine the S–R curve. ICES deals with these cases individually.

If the stock is exploited at a high fishing mortality, above what seems reasonable based on other reference points (e.g. yield per-recruit reference points) or from experience with similar stocks, and if this has been the prevailing situation for most or all of the time-series for which data are available, then the stock should be considered as depleted and the estimated SSB as representing a stock that may not reproduce to its fullest potential. In this case, a reasonable $B_{pa}$ will need to be defined based on the historical level of $F$. This $B_{pa}$ is likely to be above the SSB observed for this stock if $F$ has been above any possible candidate of $F_{pa}$.

If, on the other hand, the fishing mortality has been low judged by conventional reference points and experience with similar stocks, then this may actually be a stable stock for which the $B_{pa}$ should be defined as the $B_{loss}$ value.

Figure 12.4.3.1.6 Stock type 6, showing an example with a narrow range of SSB and stable recruitment.
Step 4. Deriving other PA reference points from $B_{lim}$

**Estimating $B_{lim}$ from $B_{pa}$**

For practical purposes, in cases where $B_{pa}$ can be estimated but $B_{lim}$ cannot, a proxy for $B_{lim}$ is considered based on the inverse of the standard factor for calculating $B_{pa}$ from $B_{lim}$ (i.e. a $B_{lim}$ proxy equal to $B_{pa}/1.4$). It should be noted that the factor 1.4 (equivalent to $\sigma = 0.20$), which is not a value based on the true assessment uncertainty, is used in this calculation of a $B_{lim}$ proxy.

\[ B_{pa} = B_{lim} \times \exp(1.645 \times \sigma), \] with $\sigma$ estimated from the assessment uncertainty in SSB in the terminal year ($\sigma$ is the estimated standard deviation of $\ln(\text{SSB})$ in the final assessment year). If $\sigma$ is unknown, 1.4 can be used as a default for \( \exp(1.645 \times \sigma) \), equivalent to $\sigma = 0.20$.

**$F_{lim}$**

$F_{lim}$ is derived from $B_{lim}$ in one of two ways:

a) The preferred method is simulating a stock with a segmented regression $S$–$R$ relationship with the point of inflection at $B_{lim}$, thus determining the $F = F_{lim}$ that, at equilibrium, gives a 50% probability of $\text{SSB} > B_{lim}$. Note: this simulation should be conducted based on a fixed $F$ (i.e. without inclusion of a $B_{trigger}$) and without inclusion of assessment/advice errors. (In the EqSim software, this means $B_{trigger}$, $F_{cv}$, and $F_{phi}$ should all be set to zero.)

b) In the alternative method $R/\text{SSB}$ is calculated at $B_{lim}$, followed by calculation of the slope of the replacement line at $B_{lim}$. Inverting this leads to $\text{SSB}/R$, which can be used to derive $F_{lim}$ from the curve of $\text{SSB}/R$ against $F$. An example is shown in Figure 12.4.3.1.7.

![Figure 12.4.3.1.7](image)

**Figure 12.4.3.1.7** Left: Stock–recruitment relationship with a wide dynamic range of SSB and evidence that recruitment has been impaired. The red line represents $B_{lim}$ (here, 200 kt), the blue line is the mean $R$ at $B_{lim}$, and the gray line is the replacement line with slope of $x$. Right: $\text{SSB}/R$ against mean $F$, where the red dotted line is at $1/\text{slope}$ and determines $F_{lim}$ (here, 0.61).

\[ F_{pa} = F_{lim} \times \exp(-1.645 \times \sigma), \] with $\sigma$ estimated from the assessment uncertainty in $F$ in the terminal year ($\sigma$ is the estimated standard deviation of $\ln(F)$ in the final assessment year). If $\sigma$ is unknown or the estimated $\sigma$ is less than 0.20 and considered to be unrealistically low, 1.4\(^{-1}\) can be used as default for \( \exp(-1.645 \times \sigma) \), equivalent to $\sigma = 0.20$.

**R package: icesAdvice**

The ICES Secretariat has released an R package on CRAN called icesAdvice. It provides two simple functions called $B_{pa}()$ and $F_{pa}()$ that can be used to calculate those reference points.
Short-lived species

Short-lived species are species with a lifespan restricted to 4–6 years. These stocks exhibit a high level of natural mortality (mean natural mortality around 1.0 or even greater). There can be a large yearly variation in natural mortality because it is largely caused by predation and environmental conditions, by highly-variable recruitment, and by a low age at first capture. Fishing mortality is generally lower than natural mortality. The size of a short-lived fish stock is very sensitive to recruitment because of the few age groups in the natural population. Care must be given to ensure a sufficient spawning-stock size as the future of the stock is highly dependent on annual recruitment.

For stocks of short-lived species, the evaluation of $B_{lim}$ is carried out in the same manner as for stocks of long-lived species.

For stocks of short-lived species with undefined $B_{pa}$, classification of the stock status is based on the distribution of SSB at spawning time, relative to $B_{lim}$:

- If there is at least 50% probability that $SSB < B_{lim}$, it is then considered that $SSB < B_{lim}$.
- If the probability that $SSB < B_{lim}$ is between 5% and 50%, it is then considered that $B_{lim} < SSB < B_{pa}$.
- If there is less than 5% probability that $SSB < B_{lim}$, it is then considered that $SSB > B_{pa}$.

ICES does not use F reference points to determine exploitation status for short-lived species.
**MSY reference points – Guidelines for estimation of \( F_{\text{MSY}} \) and MSY \( B_{\text{trigger}} \) for category 1 stocks**

**Definition of yield**

In selecting \( F_{\text{MSY}} \) it is necessary to define yield (Y) from the fishery. In ICES advice the yield is defined as either landings or catch. For some fisheries, discarding is known to be negligible and landings and catches may be considered equal. However, if catches have a significant below-minimum catch/conservation size or a significant discarded component (including slippage or high-grading), there are two important considerations for the selection of an appropriate \( F_{\text{MSY}} \). Firstly, in the definition of what constitutes the yield in the context of MSY, and secondly, in the calculation of \( F \) to give the maximum yield. In this respect \( F \) should always be the total \( F \) for catch, as used by ICES to provide advice. However, in the event that only landings data are available, the \( F \) used reflects only the landings. The choice of yield is a choice for policy and, following discussions with clients, ICES defines yield to be catch above the minimum catch/conservation size. When the selection pattern corresponding to this cannot be estimated, ICES uses the recent landings selection to define yield.

**Estimating yield (MSY) in the simulations**

The mean of the simulated long-term yield can have undesirable properties when yield distributions are highly skewed (with a high proportion of values in the tails of the distribution) and may occasionally contain very large values. The median of the distribution at each \( F \) is often considered to be more robust to these issues. In cases where the distribution of yields is unimodal and with short tails in the distribution, the two values are generally similar. Therefore, it is recommended that the median yield curve versus \( F \) is the basis for the estimation of \( F_{\text{MSY}} \) (as the value of \( F \) that maximizes yield).

**Input data**

The parameters describing properties of the population biology and the fishery, such as weight-at-age in stock and catch, maturity, natural mortality, and fishery selection pattern, should by default be derived from the last ten years of available data. When clearly documented persistent trends exist in one or more of the above parameters, the period can be decreased to three or five years. Conversely, the period can be extended to include more years if there is no evidence of temporal trends. If data on variability of e.g., maturity is not included in the assessment but is available from other sources, this should also be introduced, even if this variability has not been incorporated in the stock assessment, whilst ensuring the mean is not changed. When introducing data from multiple separate analyses, care must be taken to ensure that multiple sources of variability are dealt with correctly and that additional sources of variation take account of the presence of other changes in the simulations.

**Implementation of stochasticity**

There are several descriptions of how to implement stochasticity, process and estimation uncertainty, and correlated errors (Kell et al., 2005; ICES, 2013; Punt et al., 2015). Variability in biological parameters such as growth, maturation, and natural mortality can be included, using either a bootstrap resampling approach or parametric simulation. As a minimum, realistic (estimated) uncertainties should be used when predicting recruitment from an \( S \sim R \) relationship as this is usually the main source of variability. Inclusion of stochastic draws from interannual variability in recruitment is required for precautionary considerations. This can be either parametric simulation or a bootstrap resampling of residuals but must include a functional form of the \( S \sim R \) relationship, as discussed in the \( S \sim R \) section below. In the estimation of the probability of obtaining a stock size below \( B_{\text{lim}} \), it is necessary to include realistic estimates of the assessment and advice uncertainty (i.e. including the uncertainty in the short-term forecast). This uncertainty can be estimated from a comparison of forecast \( F \) and resulting \( F \) taken from the most recent assessment. Such stochastic issues may be ignored when the MSY reference points are shown to be at levels relative to PA reference points where the stochastic issues have no bearing on precautionary considerations. The software used in WKMSYREF3 varied in the underlying assumptions made, for example the different constraints that were applied to \( S \sim R \) model parameters. It is important that such underlying assumptions are clearly specified.
Stocks of medium- and long-lived species

The details of the current approach to estimate $F_{MSY}$ are compiled from the reports of WKMSYREF2, WKMSYREF3, and WKMSYREF4 (ICES, 2014, 2015, 2016). Considerations provided here relate to medium- and long-lived species. While $F_{MSY}$ is generally considered a property of the stock and fishery, the advice rule (AR), used by ICES to provide advice according to the MSY approach, needs to be precautionary and should conform to the overriding criterion of a greater than 95% annual probability that SSB remains at or above $B_{lim}$ in long-term equilibrium. To determine the $F_{MSY}$ and MSY $B_{trigger}$ values that can be used to produce catch advice under the ICES MSY approach, a procedure that includes assessment and advice error (i.e., uncertainty in the assessment and short-term forecast) is laid out below.

A precautionary F criterion, $F_{P.05}$, established by stochastic simulation, is used by ICES to facilitate the evaluation. The simulations include biological (i.e., recruitment, $M$, maturity, growth) and fishery (e.g., selectivity) variability and advice error. The evaluations are carried out using both $F_{MSY}$ and MSY $B_{trigger}$ and are valid for the specific parameters tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_{P.05}$</td>
<td>$F_{P.05}$ provides an upper F limit that is considered precautionary for management plans and MSY rules.</td>
<td>$F_{P.05}$ is the value of F, including modification with biomass criteria that, if applied as target in the advice rule would lead to $SSB \geq B_{lim}$ with a 95% probability. The derivation of $F_{P.05}$ should include expected stochastic variability in biology and fishery, as well as advice error.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General four-step procedure to calculate $F_{MSY}$ and MSY $B_{trigger}$

1. $F_{MSY}$ should initially be calculated based on an evaluation with the inclusion of stochasticity in population (i.e., recruitment, $M$, maturity, growth) and fishery (e.g., selectivity) as well as assessment/advice error. This is a constant F which should give maximum yield without biomass constraints (without MSY $B_{trigger}$). Error is included as this is the condition analogous to management strategy evaluations (MSEs) that will prevail in practice. Note: To ensure consistency between the precautionary and the MSY frameworks, $F_{MSY}$ is not allowed to be above $F_{pa}$; therefore, if the $F_{MSY}$ value calculated initially is above $F_{pa}$, $F_{MSY}$ is reduced to $F_{pa}$.

2. MSY $B_{trigger}$ should be selected to safeguard against an undesirable or unexpected low SSB when fishing at $F_{MSY}$, following the process described below.

3. The ICES MSY AR should be evaluated to check that the $F_{MSY}$ and MSY $B_{trigger}$ combination fulfils the precautionary criterion of having a less than 5% annual probability of SSB $< B_{lim}$ in the long term. The evaluation must include realistic assessment/advice error and stochasticity in population biology and fishery selectivity.

4. If the precautionary criterion evaluated in point 3 is not met, then $F_{MSY}$ should be reduced from the value calculated above until the precautionary criterion is met (i.e., reduce $F_{MSY}$ to $F_{MSY} = F_{P.05}$).

The final results of this process (steps 1–4) are the values of $F_{MSY}$ and MSY $B_{trigger}$. ICES enters these values to the advice sheet and uses them to formulate MSY advice and to evaluate the stock status in relation to MSY reference points. The properties of these values are similar to F and biomass triggers in an MSE. Steps 1 and 2 in this process are elaborated on in the following sections.

**Step 1: $F_{MSY}$**

$F_{MSY}$ should initially be calculated based on an evaluation that includes stochasticity in population and selectivity as well as assessment/advice error. This initial $F_{MSY}$ is a constant F which should give maximum yield without biomass constraints (without MSY $B_{trigger}$). Error is included as this is the condition analogous to management strategy evaluations (MSEs) that will prevail in practice. Note: To ensure consistency between the precautionary and the MSY frameworks, $F_{MSY}$ is not allowed to be above $F_{pa}$; therefore, if the $F_{MSY}$ value calculated initially is above $F_{pa}$, $F_{MSY}$ is reduced to $F_{pa}$.

The following guidance on the selection of S–R relationships for estimating $F_{MSY}$ is mostly extracted from the WKMSYREF3 report (ICES, 2015), where much of this work was done, with some additions after the WKMSYREF4 meeting (ICES, 2016).

The stock–recruitment relationship is crucial in the estimation of $F_{MSY}$ and the risk of falling below precautionary biomass reference points. Therefore, substantial effort in the WKMSYREF3 workshop was dedicated to providing guidelines for best practice in the estimation of stock–recruitment relationships. In the workshop, four different S–R relationships were
used: Ricker (Ricker, 1954), Beverton–Holt (Beverton and Holt, 1957), segmented regression, and Cadigan (Cadigan, 2013). Others may also be used if they are consistent with biological knowledge of the stock. The resulting guidelines are given in Table 12.4.3.1.4.

Table 12.4.3.1.4 Guidelines for best practice in the selection of stock–recruitment (S–R) relationships used for estimation of FMSY. Extracted from the WKMSYREF3 report (ICES, 2015), with some additions after the WKMSYREF4 meeting (ICES, 2016). Note: While the use of a segmented regression stock–recruitment function may be required to give the best estimate of a change point (for setting Blim), other S–R functions may better characterize the whole stock dynamics; thus, use of other relationships is acceptable here. However, care should be taken to ensure that the reference points chosen in accordance with the criteria below do not imply substantively different dynamics at this stage (i.e. the choice should be reasonably consistent with the set Blim).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Recommended action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is clear evidence that a specific S–R relationship is the correct model.</td>
<td>In this case, the estimation of MSY reference points should be based on the identified S–R relationship, and no other S–R relationships should be included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is unclear which S–R relationship provides the best fit to the data, e.g. when several models show similar fits to the data.</td>
<td>Use several, more than one, S–R relationship models having different characteristics and weight the results of the simulations from the various options against each other. Some problems were encountered in the EqSim model with the automatic weighting procedures used to weight the contribution of each relationship, as the weight on one relationship may be substantially higher than on another for no obvious reasons. The methodology uses the distribution of coefficients to weight the different models and may be sensitive to particular formulation of the models, particularly if the model coefficients are correlated (e.g. Beverton–Holt). The comparison of the maximum likelihood models may not necessarily explain why this is happening. In this case, it may be a solution to use a segmented regression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual points are highly influential in the S–R relationship.</td>
<td>Examine the validity of the highly influential data points. If they are considered valid, then keep them in the analysis; the use of a segmented regression or the Cadigan method (Cadigan, 2013) with bootstrap observations may provide a robust option, incorporating the uncertainty associated with the function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is suspected that there are prolonged shifts in recruitment success that are unrelated to SSB.</td>
<td>Unless strong evidence exists that a consistent change has occurred, the full time-series of stock and recruitment should be used. Be careful not to mistake periodicity in recruitment success, induced by e.g. cyclic climate conditions, with prolonged shifts. Serial autocorrelation in recruitment (or recruitment deviations from the model) may influence the results and should be included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted average recruitment at FMSY is substantially higher than the maximum observed.</td>
<td>If average recruitment at FMSY is greater than, e.g. 150% of the maximum observed recruitment, this should be investigated thoroughly. Often this results from estimating S–R functions using monotonically increasing observed S–R values. In this case, a segmented regression can be used (see explanation above).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock type (see Table 12.4.3.1.3)</td>
<td>Recommended action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1. Recruitment has occasional very high values.</td>
<td>This type of S–R relationship has so far only been incorporated in the method used for horse mackerel (ICES, 2015). Removing the extreme recruitment values from the analysis for this stock led to suggested FMSY and FESG values that were lower than when the occasional high recruitments were included. It is recommended, as a minimum, to investigate the sensitivity of the results to the occasional very high recruitments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2. Stocks with a wide dynamic range of SSB, and showing evidence of recruitment that is or has been impaired.</td>
<td>The default option, if the slope to the origin and plateau can be well established, would be the Beverton–Holt model. The alternative choice of Ricker to justify high FMSY would need to be well justified by a knowledge of biology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues</td>
<td>Recommended action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3. Recruitment appears to increase with SSB for all observed values of SSB.</td>
<td>In these cases, F_{MSY} tends to be estimated at very low values as it is assumed in predictions that recruitment is an ever-increasing function of SSB. This seems highly unlikely. To avoid such unrealistic predictions, a segmented regression relationship can be used. The change point of the segmented regression should be at the average of all observed SSBs, under the assumption that the asymptotic SSB does not correspond to impaired recruitment (which requires some expert judgment). However, if F historically has been low, the implication is that the S–R relationship may not follow the observed points. The change point could be placed at B_{loss} and the plateau at mean recruitment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4. Recruitment appears to decrease with increasing SSB for all observed values of SSB.</td>
<td>This usually results in a Ricker curve or the Cadigan function fitting the points with the descending limb of the function. Hence, maximum recruitment is predicted to occur at unknown SSBs below the observed minimum. The interpretation that recruitment will increase at SSB values below the lowest observed seems highly risky. To avoid such assumptions and related predictions, a segmented regression relationship can be used. The change point of the segmented regression should be at the lowest observed SSB or, if B_{loss} is used as the value for B_{pa} (see the reference points section above), the change point would be at the B_{lim} proxy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types 5 and 6. Constant recruitment is estimated for all values of SSB.</td>
<td>Such relationships should not be included in the estimation. Where constant recruitment appears to be an appropriate model, this should be replaced by segmented regression relationships with the lowest observed SSB as the forced change point.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 2: MSY B_{trigger}**

In the ICES MSY approach, MSY B_{trigger} is defined as the 5th percentile on the distribution of SSB when fishing at F_{MSY}. This calculation does not include assessment/advice error, but includes annual stochasticity in population parameters and fishery selectivity. When a stock declines below MSY B_{trigger}, this triggers advice for a reduced fishing mortality compared to F_{MSY}.

For most stocks that lack data on fishing at F_{MSY}, MSY B_{trigger} is set at B_{pa}. However, as a stock starts to be fished consistently with F_{MSY}, it is possible to move towards implementation of a value for MSY B_{trigger} that reflects the 5th percentile definition of MSY B_{trigger}. The following scheme, shown as a flowchart, is used to progressively update MSY B_{trigger} from the current value (typically B_{pa}) to the new implementation.
Notes on flowchart notation:

- $B_{FMSY}$ denotes the expected equilibrium biomass when fishing at $F_{MSY}$.
- "Current SSB" denotes the SSB at the start of the year following the terminal year of the assessment. If the assessment does not have intervals on SSB, a standard deviation of 20%, which is commonly used by ICES and which gives the 5th percentile equal to SSB/1.4, should be used.
- $MSY_{B_{trigger}}$ is expected to be reduced by this process only if the new estimate of the 5th percentile of $B_{FMSY}$ is lower than any previous estimate.
- $B_{pa}$, $MSY_{B_{trigger}}$, and $B_{FMSY}$ are in units of SSB.

**Stocks of short-lived species**

For most stocks of short-lived species, the ICES MSY approach, similar to that for stocks of long-lived species, is aimed at achieving a high probability (95%) of having a minimum biomass ($B_{lim}$) left to spawn the following year, required to produce MSY. This idea is implemented in slightly different ways for different stocks. ICES uses a biomass reference point, $MSY_{B_{escapement}}$, and, for some stocks, also an $F$ reference point, $F_{cap}$. $MSY_{B_{escapement}}$ is estimated each year to be robust against low SSB and includes a biomass buffer to account for uncertainty in the assessment and catch advice. $F_{cap}$ is defined to limit exploitation rates when biomass is high. A large stock is usually estimated with greater uncertainty, i.e. when the catch is taken, the uncertainty in the amount of biomass that escapes is greater. By capping the $F$, the escapement biomass is effectively increased in proportion to stock size, maintaining a high probability of achieving the minimum amount of biomass left to spawn. The advised yearly catches correspond to the estimated stock biomass in excess of the $MSY_{B_{escapement}}$, but constrained so that the fishing mortality is no higher than $F_{cap}$.

The ICES advisory procedure for short-lived species is normally based on short-term stochastic projections and relies on $B_{lim}$ in the same way as for other species. However, $B_{pa}$ has less utility as the uncertainty of stock size may not depend only on the assessment. For short-lived species the coefficient of variation (CV) of SSB is almost constant; however, as the estimated size of the stock increases, so does the uncertainty in this estimate. As the stock is reduced by the in-year fishery, an initial high stock biomass becomes an uncertain biomass after the fishery. This implies that the CV increases as the stock decreases; a fixed $B_{pa}$, which implies a fixed CV, would therefore not give the same probability of maintaining SSB above $B_{lim}$ in all years. A fixed $B_{pa}$ is thus not relevant for these stocks. The TAC advised by ICES is based on a 5% probability of SSB falling below $B_{lim}$ at spawning time, following the fishery. For several stocks, this is calculated based on...
the estimated biomass together with the associated uncertainty, where the uncertainty differs from year to year depending on the estimated size of the stock. For some other stocks a fixed \(B_{\text{escapement}}\) value is applied in the advice, complemented with an upper limit to \(F\) (i.e. \(F_{\text{cap}}\)). This ensures that a greater margin is applied when the stock is large; however, \(F_{\text{cap}}\) is not directly analogous to \(F_{\text{pa}}\) or \(F_{\text{lim}}\). The reference points \(F_{\text{pa}}\) and \(F_{\text{lim}}\) are not considered relevant for those stocks of short-lived species for which the advice is based on biomass escapement strategies.

For some short-lived species, assessments are so sensitive to incoming recruitment that the amount of biomass in excess of the target escapement cannot be reliably estimated until data on the incoming year class is available. For most of the stocks concerned such data is obtained just before the fishery starts (or during the fishing year). Therefore, the advice on fishing possibilities is often given just prior to the start of the fishing season or after the fisheries have started.

The general approach to providing advice based on \(B_{\text{lim}}\) and a biomass escapement strategy, as explained above, is used by ICES for stocks of short-lived species that either (a) die after spawning, such as capelin, or (b) have such high natural mortality that future SSB is largely independent of survival after spawning, such as North Sea sprat or anchovy.

**Stocks assessed with biomass dynamics models**

The frameworks mentioned so far for PA and MSY reference points depend on appropriate modelling of a stock–recruitment relationship, describing the population and fishery exploitation pattern on the basis of ages (or, possibly, lengths). This is not available for stocks assessed with biomass dynamics models, where no age or length structure is considered and a few model parameters (often just one parameter) implicitly capture the combined effects of recruitment, growth, and natural mortality. In these models, the so-called fishing mortality \((F)\) is actually a harvest rate (=catch / stock biomass), which also implies that the stock biomass modelled in these assessments is the exploitable biomass.

It has been common practice in ICES in the last few years to set reference points based on the deterministic equilibrium relationship between yield, \(F\), and stock biomass. The following table provides a summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference point</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Value with a Schaefer model*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(F_{\text{MSY}})</td>
<td>The (F) that maximizes the equilibrium curve of yield versus (F)</td>
<td>(r/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSY (B_{\text{MSY}})</td>
<td>(0.5 \times B_{\text{MSY}}), where (B_{\text{MSY}}) is the biomass corresponding to MSY in the equilibrium curve of yield versus stock biomass</td>
<td>(0.5 \times B_{\text{MSY}} = 0.25 \times K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B_{\text{lim}})</td>
<td>Stock biomass corresponding to equilibrium yield equal to half the maximum (i.e. MSY/2)</td>
<td>(0.3 \times B_{\text{MSY}} = 0.15 \times K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F_{\text{lim}})</td>
<td>The (F) that drives the stock to (B_{\text{lim}}) based on the equilibrium curve of stock biomass versus (F)</td>
<td>(1.7 \times F_{\text{MSY}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F_{\text{pa}}, B_{\text{pa}})</td>
<td>So far no (F_{\text{pa}}) or (B_{\text{pa}}) reference points have been set for any of these stocks. The stock assessments that have been implemented with these models provide uncertainty intervals around the results; therefore, the probability of (F) exceeding (F_{\text{lim}}) or biomass being below (B_{\text{lim}}) in any assessment year can be directly calculated from the assessment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In the Schaefer model, the parameters \(r\) and \(K\) represent the intrinsic growth rate and equilibrium biomass, respectively, under a no-fishing regime. The reference point values in this column correspond to deterministic equilibrium calculations.

The category 1 stock assessments performed in ICES until now have deployed biomass dynamics models using the standard Schaefer model, with reference points defined by deterministic calculations. However, this may change as more recent developments such as the SpiCT model, for which some aspects of stochasticity are considered in reference point calculations, become more widely used in ICES.

**Nephrops stocks assessed in underwater TV (UWTV) surveys**

The assessments of most Nephrops stocks in category 1 are based on abundance estimates from underwater TV (UWTV) surveys. No stock–recruitment relationships can be estimated from these assessments and, therefore, it is not possible to calculate PA or MSY reference points using the standard ICES framework described in this document. Whereas no precautionary reference points have been defined for Nephrops stocks, MSY reference points are calculated as described below.
MSY is defined as a harvest rate (applied to the abundance estimate), and is derived from a deterministic equilibrium per-recruit analysis using length-structured models. The harvest rate corresponds to “dead removals” (Nephrops killed by the fishery, i.e. landings and discards assumed not to survive the discarding process). F₀.₁, F_max, and F₃₅%SPR harvest rates are considered as potential F_MSY (harvest rate) reference points. A stock-specific choice among them is made based on the criteria in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Burrow density (average individuals m⁻²)</th>
<th>Low (F₃₅%SPR)</th>
<th>Medium (F₀.₁)</th>
<th>High (F_max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.3</td>
<td>F₀.₁</td>
<td>F₀.₁</td>
<td>F_max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3–0.8</td>
<td>F₀.₁</td>
<td>F₀.₁</td>
<td>F₃₅%SPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.8</td>
<td>F₀.₁</td>
<td>F₀.₁</td>
<td>F₃₅%SPR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As there can be strong differences in relative exploitation rates between sexes, three values for each of the candidate reference points are determined: for each sex separately and for the two sexes combined. The combined-sex F_MSY is chosen unless the resulting percentage of virgin spawner-per-recruit (%SPR) for males or females falls below 20%. In such a case, a more conservative sex-specific F_MSY value should be chosen over the combined-sex one.

MSY B_trigger is typically set at the lowest stock abundance estimated historically, unless the stock has shown signs of stress at higher abundance, in which case a higher value should be used. For some Nephrops stocks with a short UWTV survey time-series, MSY B_trigger has been set based on the 5th percentile of a normal distribution fitted to the observed stock abundances.
Sources


